



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – PASTOR KEN LIEBER, FAITH COMMUNITY LUTHERAN CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN (excused from the P.M. Session from 3:50 to 5:00) and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:05 – 9:06)

1-1

PASTOR KEN LIEBER, Faith Community Lutheran Church, gave the invocation.

(9:06 – 9:07)

1-15

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:07 – 9:08)

1-46

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF CITIZEN OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK recognized BEVERLY BLASKEY as Citizen of the Month for her involvement in Ward 6 and the community at large. She recently single-handedly accomplished the relocation of a high school from the center of a horse community to a section-line road. She exemplifies community involvement.

MS. BLASKEY thanked the City Council and staff for their support and for listening to citizens' concerns in order to try to reach a compromise and make the community better.

(9:08 – 9:10)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS
DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:
CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF 2001 AMATEUR SOFTBALL ASSOCIATION "B" CHAMPIONSHIP

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COACH KIM BUCY joined COUNCILMAN BROWN in honoring and introducing the following team members of the Impact Girls Softball Team from the Northwest area: LIBBY BROOKS, ASHLEY CROSS, KRYSTAL FOSTER, BRITTANY HENDERSON, JENNIFER JOHNSON, PHYLICIA LUNA, and CASSIE YATES.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that Impact is the first qualifying team from Las Vegas to go to the Nationals. The team finished second in the State's tournament. They have demonstrated what teamwork can accomplish, of which they should be proud.

COACH BUCY stated that she is very proud of the team members. They worked very hard for four weeks to raise the necessary \$15,000 to go to Nationals, which were held in Washington. There were teams present from all over the Western United States, and games were held everyday and many other events. The girls will never forget Nationals.

(9:10 – 9:13)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

PROCLAMATION PRESENTATION TO THE NEVADA TOBACCO USERS' HELPLINE
DECLARING NOVEMBER AS NoNic MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN invited DOCTORS ELIZABETH FILES and GEORGE KEISER to the podium to assist him in this presentation. MAYOR GOODMAN recognized the Tobacco Users' Helpline, which is now in the community offering telephone counseling and support group assistance to help individuals that want to stop using tobacco products. He proclaimed November as NoNic Month in the City of Las Vegas.

DR. FILES was honored to accept the proclamation. She acknowledged the volunteers of the Tobacco Hotline who were in the audience. She assured the Council that they will continue to serve the community to the best of their ability.

(9:13 – 9:14)

1-228

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EAGLE SCOUT TROOP FROM THE MIRABELLI COMMUNITY CENTER

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD commented that he always looks forward to an opportunity to recognize young leaders of the future. The young men of the Eagle Scout Troop have given over 2,250 of their hours to work on 15 different projects in the Mirabelli Community Center for which they raised over \$12,000.

SCOUT LEADER JOSEPH DANISZEWSKI, Acting Scout Executive of the Boulder Dam Area Council, and SUE BARLEY, City Leisure Services Coordinator, came up to assist COUNCILMAN McDONALD in recognizing the following Eagle Scout Troop members: MEGAN HUNT representing BEN MACK, ZACHARY TIPPETS, MATT BALLARD, KEVIN SAGERS, TYSON MATYAS, and KYLE MONTOYA.

MR. DANISZEWSKI said that the aforementioned young men have done incredible things. He expressed his appreciation to their parents, who were in the audience, for the fine job they have done in raising these young men. He noted that over 40,000 hours of community service were done in the City of Las Vegas by the close to 289 young men in the Las Vegas area that received Eagle Scout Rank.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Ceremonial Matters

Recognition of Eagle Scout Troop From the Mirabelli Community Center

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. BARLEY listed some of the various projects that some of the Scout groups completed at the Mirabelli Community Center: removed vegetation and installed new landscaping; put together a playhouse and benches; completed wall coverings, picture frames, curbing and memorial rock. She stated that she is very proud of this group for its awesome contributions to the Mirabelli Center. She thanked the parents for their fine job in raising these youth.

The young men presented each Council member with a Fleur-de-lis pin in the form of an American flag in appreciation for the support. The Council members presented each of the young men with a City Ribbon.

(9:14 – 9:20)

1-273

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF PROSTATE AWARENESS MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained that as a member of the Missionary Baptist Church, he recently witnessed at a Health Awareness Workshop the testimony of FRANK McBRIDE, who was in the audience, and how he battled prostate cancer. As a survivor of prostate cancer, MR. McBRIDE stated that his biggest goal is to do more about prostate cancer and get the message out to all men in order to make them aware of how important it is have routine prostate testing. Consequently, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY, as an elected official, decided to issue a proclamation for Prostate Awareness Month and declare it Save a Man Month. He noted that prostate cancer affects younger men too, so it is very important to get checkups.

MR. McBRIDE shared with the audience and those present that he is 64 years old and warned that prostate cancer is a silent killer. He remarked that one of the problems with prostate cancer is that men are reluctant to undergo prostate screenings because their manhood gets in the way. He thought that he was very fit and underwent prostate screenings every year for 25 years and recently started getting screened every six months. In October of 2000 he was diagnosed with prostate cancer and had radical surgery in December of that year. Today, he is doing well. He noted that early detection and treatment is very important, but he feels that more information on prostate cancer and the options is necessary, as well as follow up and support groups because it is a very traumatizing disease. He thanked the Council for the opportunity to speak on this matter.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Ceremonial Matters – Continued
Recognition of Prostate Awareness Month

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY urged women to help their men, especially since women have always been so good at communicating about their ailments and forming support groups.

(9:23 – 9:28)

1-536

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS DAY

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN introduced LOUIS CARR, Information Technologies Department, who gave the presentation. MR. CARR stated that November 14th is the City's Third Annual GIS Day, at which time citizens and City staff can see how technology is utilized throughout the City. Students from Mountain View Christian School, Keller Middle School, Woodbury Middle School, Brown Middle School, and Centennial High School will be present to help celebrate the occasion. A display will also be set up at the Meadows Mall on that day. He displayed the GIS T-shirts, which were given to each Council member and would be given to Administrative staff.

MAYOR GOODMAN presented MR. CARR with a proclamation declaring November 14, 2001, as GIS Day.

(9:20 – 9:23)

1-463

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Ceremonial Matters

Visiting Students from the Ukraine

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN welcomed the following visiting students from the Ukraine: STANISLAV DMYTRIYEV, ROSTISLAV DZHURYNSKYI, VLADISLAVA PILKEVYCH, MARIYA SALNIKOVA, GANNA SICHKO, TETYANA SKLADANOVSKA, and GANNA DRANENKO, who were accompanied by their teachers IRINA MITROSHNYCHENKO, Exchange Coordinator for the Ukraine, and ALLA GARAVASKAYA, English Teacher in the Ukraine, as well as PENNY OLSEN, Exchange Coordinator at the Las Vegas Academy.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that he recently had the opportunity to meet with five judges from Russia who were fascinated with the United States legal system. He feels that it is so important that the United States share its fair business practices with other countries. He hoped that at the conclusion of the Council meeting, the students would feel that everyone was treated fairly and with dignity and respect.

MS. OLSEN stated that the Academy was fortunate to be selected by the State Department as one of the 24 schools in the United States' to exchange with Odessa, Ukraine of the Newly Independent States (NIS).

MS. MITROSHNYCHENKO indicated that she is very happy to bring students to Las Vegas from the Ukraine for the third time. American students will be visiting the Ukraine during the spring of 2002. She presented the Mayor with a needlepoint picture of the first Ukraine governor, who was very progressive. MAYOR GOODMAN noted that his ancestors are from the Ukraine.

Each of the Council members was presented with gifts from the students.

(9:28 – 9:35)

1-706

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 38, 65, 76, 77, 78, and 101 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37)

1-974

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of October 3, 2001 and Special City Council Meeting of October 2, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:37)

1-1013

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 09/16/01 - 09/30/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 10,753,032.68
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,395,914.28
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 56,459,370.67
Total NBS and City Investments	\$ 0.00

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY advised that the Real Estate Committee met to review all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Package Liquor License, Costco Wholesale Corporation, dba Costco Wholesale #685, 801 South Pavilion Center Drive, James D. Sinegal, Dir, CEO, Pres, Jeffrey H. Brotman, Dir, Harold E. Kaplan, VP, Treas - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, Marc's, Inc., dba Marc's, 7290 West Lake Mead Blvd., #1, Marcus T. Ritz, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Jamal Jeberaeel, dba Bells Market, 720 West Owens Ave., Jamal Jeberaeel, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, Ibarra & Ibarra, dba From: Taco Factory, To: El Borrego De Oro, 640 North Eastern Ave., Salvador R. Ibarra and Dora Ibarra, 100% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses, Raley's, a California Corporation, dba: Raley's Store #135, 1421 North Jones Blvd.; Raley's Store #139, 9200 West Sahara Ave.; Raley's Store #142, 3864 West Sahara Ave., Jerry E. Cook, Dir - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses, Raley's, a California Corporation, dba: Raley's Store #140, 8570 West Lake Mead Blvd.; Raley's Store #145, 120 South Rainbow Blvd., Jerry E. Cook, Dir - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses, Raley's, a California Corporation, dba: Raley's Store #136, 3160 North Rainbow Blvd.; Raley's Store #141, 4821 West Craig Rd., Jerry E. Cook, Dir - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Package Liquor License, Albertsons, Inc., dba Albertson's #6016, 10250 West Charleston Blvd., Tony A. Prey, Gen Mgr - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License, Jim Colbert Golf, Inc., dba Colbert Fogler Golf Enterprises, 4300 West Washington Ave., Timothy S. Chew, Gen Mgr - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License, Aramark Sports and Entertainment Services, Inc., dba Aramark Sports and Entertainment Services, Inc., 850 North Las Vegas Blvd., Christine M. Kendzora-Banghart, Gen Mgr - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Pre-Tul Nevada Restaurants Corp., dba Presutti's Tomatoes, Fausto Presutti, Dir, Pres, Neil J. D. Tulloch, Dir, Secy, Treas, 50%, Cavate Enterprise Ltd., 50%, Fausto Presutti, Sole Officer, 100%, To: Central Park West, Inc., dba Central Park West, 4760 West Sahara Ave., Suite 1, Ronald C. Smilow, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations, From: JRW, Inc., dba Boomers Restaurant & Lounge, Randy C. Miller, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%, To: B.A.B., LLC, dba Boomers, 3200 Sirius Ave., Jon R. Huff, Mgr, Mmbr, 70%, Todd P. Wellman, Mgr, Mmbr, 30% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, E & T Produce Co., LLC, dba King Ranch Market #8, 755 North Nellis Blvd., Aner J. Iglesias, Mmbr, 50%, William P. Miguel, Mmbr, 25%, Constantino Miguel, Mmbr, 25% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, E & T Produce Co., LLC, dba King Ranch Market #6, 840 North Decatur Blvd., Aner J. Iglesias, Mmbr, 50%, William P. Miguel, Mmbr, 25%, Constantino Miguel, 25% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 5 slots, United Coin Machine Co., db at 7-Eleven Food Store #13681, 4950 West Charleston Blvd. - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 5 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Slot Operator License, Heritage Coin, Inc., dba Heritage Coin, Inc., From: 2501 North Green Valley Pkwy., Suite 108, To: 198 North Pecos Rd., Michael Zarvian, Dir, Pres, Laretta D. Zarvian, Dir, VP - (Henderson)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Slot Operator License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm Service License, Fox Security, Inc., dba Fox Security, Inc.,
3167 West Tompkins Ave., Mark Fox, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm Service License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK**
abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm Service License, HP Media Group, dba HP Media Group, 3725 West Teco Ave., Suite 8, Michael Heck, Pres, Kevin D. Peltier, Secy, Treas - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm Service License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Burglar Alarm License, Hometronic, dba Hometronic,
From: 3725 West Teco Ave., Suite 8, To: 3560 Polaris Ave., Suite 17, Dean M. Poser, Dir, Pres,
100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Burglar Alarm License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK**
abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Locksmith License, T&T Las Vegas, Inc., dba From: Pop-A-Lock, To: Express Roadside Assistance, 280 Greg Street, Suite 18, Eugene Temen, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - (Reno, Nevada)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Locksmith License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Kristi J. Black, dba Kristi J. Black, 10234 James Harbin Ave., Kristi J. Black, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Maria Maguire, dba Maria Maguire, 7310 Smoke Ranch Road, Suite M, Maria E. Maguire, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Jacqueline L. Dunne, dba Positive Touch, 6320 Molino Street, Jacqueline L. Dunne, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Evelyn A. Fanning, dba Evelyn A. Fanning, 6981 South Arville Street, Evelyn A. Fanning, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Dawn M. Woeslaw, dba A Touch of Dawn, 8123 Alpine Fir Ave., Dawn M. Woeslaw, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Tiffany Barney, dba Tiffany Barney, 6725 Barney Lane, Tiffany S. Barney, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Everardo Banda, Jr., dba Everardo Banda, Jr., 5464 Northridge Lane, Everardo Banda, Jr., 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Complete Cosmetic Medical Spa, Inc., dba European Treatment Centers, 7720 West Sahara Ave., Suite 103, Vera F. Barnes-Gordon, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Yin Lai, dba Pearl of the Orient Acupressure and Massage, 3909 West Sahara Ave., Suite 6, Yin Lai, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Sun City Spas, Inc., dba Sun City Spas, Inc., 2033 Paradise Road, James R. Ernsberger, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Pawnbroker License and Class II Secondhand Dealer License, From: Craig McCall, dba Pawn Plus IV, To: ASAP Auto Pawn, Inc., dba Pawn Plus IV, 1237 East Sahara Ave., Jerome L. Ryan, Mgr, 10% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Pawnbroker License and Class II Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License, Jeff Robinson, Inc., dba Robinson's Used Furniture, From: 1050 South Main Street, To: 1054-1056 South Main Street, Jeff Robinson, Dir, Pres, VP, 50%, Carrie L. Robinson, Dir, Secy, Treas, 50% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 01.1730.20-RC, Smoke Ranch Road Improvements - Jones Boulevard to Buffalo Drive and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION (\$4,497,497 - Regional Transportation Commission and Enterprise Fund) - Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$4,497,497☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** RTC and Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The work to be performed under this contract is generally described as reconstruction of Smoke Ranch Road from Jones to Buffalo and shall include the new construction and upgrade of existing asphalt concrete pavement, aggregate base, and open-graded surface. Roadway improvements shall also include curb, gutter, sidewalk, residential and commercial driveways, sidewalk ramps, utility relocation, street lighting, traffic signal video detection upgrades, signage, delineation, and storm drainage facilities.

POC: Darren Keser (702) 251-5800

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.1730.20-RC, Smoke Ranch Road Improvements - Jones Boulevard to Buffalo Drive to Las Vegas Paving Corporation in the amount of \$4,497,497 and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$400,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Consent – Finance and Business Services
Item 36 – Bid No. 01.1730.20-RC

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 01.15301.15-LED, Pioneer Park and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION (\$2,847,312 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,847,312

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Work consists of construction of a 17-acre neighborhood park to include landscaping and lawn areas, children play areas, shade structures, restrooms, bocci and horseshoe courts, basketball court, jogging paths, exercise stations, benches and related landscaped rest areas. In addition, there are two additive alternate items: 1) Decorative seatwall at Braswell and 2) Sod for hydroseed. Project location is Pioneer Way & Braswell Drive.

The annual operations, maintenance and utility costs related to this park are estimated to be \$148,000 annually.

POC: Robert Mendenhall (702) 251-5800

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.15301.15-LED, Pioneer Park to Las Vegas Paving Corporation in the amount of \$2,847,312 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$284,730.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated that she has been working with the surrounding neighborhood on this project for approximately two years. At first it was a problematic property because of trash dumping, but the neighborhood will now have a beautiful 17-acre park. She asked when the park will be completed. JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director, Public Works Department, answered that it should be completed before the summer of 2002.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Consent - Finance and Business Services
Item 37 – Bid No. 01,15301.15-LED

MINUTES - Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(9:38 – 9:40)

1-1076

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a 90-day Agreement for Inmate Health Care Services (RC) - Department of Detention and Enforcement - Award recommended to: EMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP dba EMSA CORRECTIONAL CARE (\$270,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$270,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Detention & Enforcement

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On 10/18/95, City Council approved the award of RFP Number 2400-2 for inmate health care services for the Detention Center and City Hall Jail to EMSA Limited Partnership dba EMSA Correctional Care. The performance period for the contract has expired and due to recent federally mandated updates, the request for proposals for this project must be re-issued. The 90-day Agreement shall allow for completion of the proposal process and shall incorporate all the terms and conditions of the contract (to include amendments) approved by City Council on 10/18/95.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the 90-day Agreement for Inmate Health Care Services to EMSA Limited Partnership dba EMSA Correctional Care, for the period of October 15, 2001 through January 15, 2002, in the amount of \$270,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 38, 65, 76, 77, 78, and 101 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37)

1-974

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for software from State of Nevada's Microsoft Select Software Agreement 01S54800, a two year agreement administered by a Microsoft Large Area Reseller (LAR) (DGL) - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: MICROSOFT LAR (\$150,000 annually - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$150,000 annually

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement is for the procurement of various Microsoft Select software as needed by the City and will be administered by a Microsoft LAR for the period October 1, 2001 through September 30, 2003.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding requirements under NRS 332.115.1(h), software for computers.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for Microsoft Select software to a Microsoft LAR, from October 1, 2001 through September 30, 2003 in the amount of \$150,000 annually.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the award of Bid Number 020006-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Base Aggregates - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: VARIOUS SUPPLIERS (Estimated annual aggregate amount of \$100,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement will provide the Street & Sanitation Division with an annual requirements contract for Base Aggregates for use on various projects throughout the City of Las Vegas.

POC: Alex Crowder, Impact Sand & Gravel - (702) 597-1010

POC: Dan Peressini, Las Vegas Paving - (702) 251-5800

POC: Guy Wells, Wells Cargo - (702) 876-0659

POC: James Maddux, Granite Construction Co. - (702) 643-9045

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020006-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Base Aggregates to Various Suppliers, from date of award through October 31, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual aggregate amount of \$100,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICE

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020005-KF, Annual Requirements Contract for Telephone & Data Communication Supplies - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: ANIXTER (Estimated annual amount of \$80,000 - Various Funds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$80,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Various Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for telephone and data supplies throughout the City of Las Vegas on an as needed basis.

POC: Larry Mitchell (702) 407-4907

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020005-KF, Annual Requirements Contract for Telephone & Data Communication Supplies to Anixter, from date of award through September 30, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$80,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract to repair microwave equipment used by Las Vegas Area Computer Traffic System (LVACTS) (KF) - Public Works - Award recommended to: AML WIRELESS SYSTEMS INC. (Estimated annual amount of \$75,000 - LVACTS Special Revenue Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$75,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Public Works**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: LVACTS Special Revenue Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This purchase will provide for the repair of microwave equipment, on an as needed basis, to maintain the LVACTS microwave back bone systems.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Dave Moran - (800) 663-7902

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for repair of microwave equipment to AML WIRELESS SYSTEMS, Inc., from date of award through 11/30/02 and to renew annually, in the estimated annual amount of \$75,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of authorization to use State of Nevada RFP 1048 for Statewide VHF High-Band Radio System (KF) - Department of Detention & Enforcement - Award recommended to: MOTOROLA, INC (\$66,239 - Capital Projects Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$66,239

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Detention & Enforcement

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This authorization will allow the City of Las Vegas to use the State of Nevada RFP 1048 to provide 800 MHZ radios for Detention & Enforcement Animal Control Unit.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to join onto or use the contracts of other local governments.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the use of the State of Nevada RFP 1048 for Statewide VHF High-Band Radio System with Motorola, Inc. in the amount of \$66,239.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for LIFEPAK 500 Automated External Defibrillators - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: MEDTRONIC PHYSIO-CONTROL (Estimated annual amount of \$60,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$60,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of LIFEPAK 500 Automated External Defibrillators to be used by the Fire & Rescue Department to defibrillate persons in cardiac arrest.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Mike Patterson - (480) 755-8461

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for LIFEPAK 500 Automated External Defibrillators to Medtronic Physio-Control, from date of award through October 31, 2002, with 3 one-year options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$60,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for radiological services for Fire & Rescue personnel (JDF) - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: DESERT RADIOLOGISTS (Estimated annual amount of \$50,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This purchase will provide for radiological services for Fire & Rescue personnel.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to 332.115.1(b), Professional Services.

POC: Deanna Sells - (702) 384-5210, ext. 1041

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for radiological services for Fire & Rescue personnel to Desert Radiologists, from January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002, in the estimated amount of \$50,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020009-JDF, Annual Requirements Contract for Floor Mats, Utility Towels, Roll Towels and Dust Mops - Various Departments - Award recommended to: PRUDENTIAL OVERALL SUPPLY (Estimated annual amount of \$45,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$45,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Various Departments

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the rental, cleaning and delivery of floor mats, utility towels, roll towels and dust mops to be used by various departments within the City of Las Vegas.

POC: Jay Boyer - (909) 687-0440

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020009-JDF, Annual Requirements Contract for Floor Mats, Utility Towels, Roll Towels and Dust Mops to Prudential Overall Supply, from date of award through 12/31/02, with 4 one-year options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$45,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of three (3) Emission Analyzers (TC) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: WORLDWIDE ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCTS, INC. (\$38,850 - Capital Projects Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$38,850

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Trans.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of three (3) Emission Analyzers, in accordance with Chapter 482 of the Nevada Administrative Code, for Fleet/Transportation Services.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(c), Additions to, repairs and maintenance of equipment which may be more efficiently added to, repaired or maintained by a certain person.

POC: Ron Marino - (909) 599-6431

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of three (3) emission analyzers to Worldwide Environmental Products, Inc. in the amount of \$38,850.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES****DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to contract with Behavioral Healthcare Options, Inc. (BHO) for an employee assistance plan for employees choosing Health Plan of Nevada as their insurance provider (\$5,900 - Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$5,900☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Human Resources☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas has approved a contract with the Health Plan of Nevada (HPN). In order to have continuity of care from a mental health prospective, it is recommended that approval of a contract with BHO, the Employee Assistance Plan for HPN members. The assumption of costs is based on current percentages and with a 10% enrollment into HPN. Associated costs will be redirected from Harmony HealthCare, the City Health Insurance Plan Employee Assistance Provider to BHO. Costs are within approved FY2002 budgets.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the HPN Employee Assistance Plan contract with Behavioral Healthcare Options, Inc. be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Rate page from the response to the Request for Proposal dated July 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Approval of Family Resource Center Sub-Contract Agreement between Southern Nevada Family Resource Centers Local Governing Board and the City of Las Vegas Department of Leisure Services for operation of the grant funded Family Resource Center at Stupak Community Center (\$4,346 - 10% cash funds - General Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$4,346 - 10% cash funds

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Leisure Services/Recreation

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Grant Award/General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Stupak Community Center was awarded the Family Resource Center Grant, effective October 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002. The City has been awarded \$43,465.57 for the contract period but must renew the subcontractor agreement with HELP of Southern Nevada. The award is included in the City budget

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Family Resource Center (FRC) Sub-Contract Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file an amendment to Right-of-Way Grant No. N-55999 with the Bureau of Land Management to add roadway and drainage purposes for the Ann Road Detention Basin outfall on portions of land lying within the West Half (W 1/2) of Section 25 and the East Half (E 1/2) of Section 26, T19S, R59E, M.D.M., located along the Tropical Parkway alignment from the Western Beltway to the westerly boundary of the Ann Road Detention Basin - 126-25-201-001, 401-006 and 126-26-000-001 - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Declaration of Utilization from the Bureau of Land Management for a portion of the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 20, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., for sewer and drainage purposes located on the north side of Dorrell Lane, east of Fort Apache Road and the west side of Campbell Drive, north of Dorrell Lane - 125-20-101-010, -011 and -012 - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Declaration of Utilization from the Bureau of Land Management for a portion of the Northeast Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 5, T20S, R60E, M.D.M., for off-site sewer purposes located on the north side of Craig Road to the El Capitan alignment - 138-05-601-017, -019 and -020 - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Dedication from the City of Las Vegas, a Municipal Corporation for a portion of the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of Section 15, T20S, R60E, M.D.M., for rights-of-way located at the southeast corner of Peak Drive and Buffalo Drive - 138-15-310-020 - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant with the Bureau of Land Management for road, sewer and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of Section 7, T20S, R60E, M.D.M., located on the east side of Conquistador Street, between Cheyenne Avenue and Atwood Avenue - 138-07-401-009 - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant with the Bureau of Land Management for road, sewer and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of Section 6 and the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 7, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., located on the west side of Fort Apache Road, between the Horse Drive alignment approximately 150 feet north of O'Hare Avenue - APN 125-06-002-009, 125-07-501-002 and -602-002 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - G.H. Billingsley with Azimuth Engineering & Surveying, Inc on behalf of Sean Cassidy, owner (west of Rainbow Boulevard, north of Ann Road APN 125-27-803-005) (County) - Near Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is to connect a childcare facility. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 12" City sewer line located in Rainbow Boulevard. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and a "Petition for Annexation."

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Lochsa Engineering on behalf of Clark County School District, owner ("D" Street between Alexander Avenue and Frederick Avenue) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 4.5' wide strip of landscaping on the east side of "D" Street extending southward approximately 390' from Alexander Avenue to Frederick Avenue consisting of trees, shrubs, grass, a drip irrigation system, and light poles to satisfy a condition of SD-0006-98 for the Kit Carson Elementary School addition. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map ("D" Street between Alexander Avenue and Frederick Avenue)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Plaster Development Company, Incorporated, owner (Racel Street between Buffalo Drive and Conough Lane) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 5' wide strip of landscaping on the south side of Racel Street extending approximately 1,300' from Buffalo Drive to Conough Lane consisting of trees, shrubs, rock mulch, and an irrigation system to satisfy conditions of Z-0008-98 and TM-0030-98 for the proposed Stone Mountain Unit 4 subdivision. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Racel Street between Buffalo Drive and Conough Lane)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement regarding Special Improvement District (SID) No. 1481 with Clark County for construction of road improvements on El Capitan Way (Centennial Parkway to US-95) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Agreement allows the City of Las Vegas to include one County parcel in the City of Las Vegas Special Improvement District (SID) No. 1481 and assess the property owner for pavement, curb/gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, driveway approaches, fire hydrants, water mains and laterals and sewer mains and laterals as a part of the SID. The County Commission approved the Interlocal Agreement at their October 16, 2001 meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve this Agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a First Amendment to a Professional Services Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Greeley and Hansen LLP for additional design, start-up and warranty work at the Northwest Water Resource Center (NWWRC) - (\$428,722 - Sanitation Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$428,722

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sanitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Greeley and Hansen LLP is the design consultant for the NWWRC. There are several items that we wish Greeley and Hansen to accomplish that were not covered under the original contract. This contract amendment includes additional design and construction services relating to several equipment systems and project coordination including warranty work.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

First Amendment to Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-130-2001 - Approval of a Resolution amending Resolution R-87-2001 to correct the transfer for 2001/2002 Private Activity Bond Volume Cap to \$12,752,219 to Community Development Programs Center of Nevada to construct a new affordable senior housing project at Eastern and Searles and \$9,176,646 to the State Housing Division for the single family first time homebuyer loan program - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Resolution R-87-2001 incorrectly noted the 2001/2002 Private Activity Bond transfer amount to the State Housing Division to be \$9,376,646 when it should have been \$9,176,646. The amount transferring \$12,752,219 of the City of Las Vegas' 2001/2002 Private Activity Bond Volume Cap to the Community Development Programs Center of Nevada remain the same.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the Resolution and authorize the Mayor to execute the Agreements with the subrecipients after they have been approved by Council.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-130-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-131-2001 - Approval of a Resolution Determining the Cost and Directing the City Engineer to Prepare the Final Assessment Roll re: Special Improvement District No. 1469 - 4th Street (Washington Avenue to Adams Avenue) (\$50,771.11 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,771.11

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk, driveway approach and street lights.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-131-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-132-2001 - Approval of a Resolution fixing the time and place when complaints, protests, and objections to the final assessment roll will be heard for Special Improvement District No. 1469 - 4th Street (Washington Avenue to Adams Avenue) (\$50,771.11 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,771.11

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk, driveway approach and street lights.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-132-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-133-2001 - Approval of a Resolution overruling complaints, protests and objections and confirming the final assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 1470 – Craig Road (Buffalo Drive to US-95) (\$343,272.05 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) – Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$343,272.05

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential and commercial driveways, water main, and water and sewer laterals along Craig Road (Buffalo Drive to US-95).

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-133-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-134-2001 - Approval of a Resolution overruling complaints, protests and objections and confirming the final assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 1471 – Jones Boulevard (Rancho Drive to Centennial Parkway) (\$633,533.72 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$633,533.72

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, and sewer laterals. Beginning at the northeast right-of-way line of Rancho Drive at Jones Boulevard and proceeding north to the southerly right of way line of Centennial Parkway.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-134-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 38, 65, 76, 77, 78, and 101 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37)

1-974

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-135-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Engineer to prepare preliminary plans regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1481 - El Capitan Parkway (Centennial Parkway to US-95 (Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The construction and installation of pavement, "L" type curb and gutter, driveway approaches, water laterals and mains, fire hydrants, sewer laterals and mains, and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-135-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-136-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Ninth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report re: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm sewer/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Estancia at The Vistas.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-136-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-137-2001 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Ninth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Estancia at The Vistas.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-137-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-138-2001 - Approval of a resolution directing the City Treasurer to give notice of the sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent assessment in District 505 and in certain other districts; and providing other matters properly relating thereto - District 505 in Ward 6 (Mack)/other districts in various wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 271.545 states that when an assessment or installment becomes delinquent, the City shall direct the City Treasurer to give notice of the sale of the property or properties subject to the lien of a delinquent installment or the entire assessment if the governing body has exercised its option to cause the whole amount of the unpaid principal to become due and payable.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-138-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of an Easement and Rights-of-Way between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for the construction of water lines to service Parcel Number 138-14-402-001, referred to as Fire Station #43, located near Smoke Ranch Road and Torrey Pines Drive - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City is in the process of going out to bid for the construction of Fire Station #43. In order to have water service for the station, the City is required to grant an Easement and Rights-of-Way to LVVWD for construction of water lines.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 10/29/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Easement and Rights-of-Way
2. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: **STRICKEN** under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Landlord Estoppel Certificate and Agreement between the City of Las Vegas (as Landlord) and Gemini, Inc. (as Tenant) relating to a parking garage lease located at 222 East Carson, commonly referred to as the Carson Parking Garage - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Lady Luck Hotel/Casino has a new owner. By executing the Landlord Estoppel Certificate and Agreement, all terms of the Lease Agreement dated June 6, 1984, are being honored by the new owner. In addition, the City is agreeing that the lender to Gemini, Inc. will be given the same rights and opportunity to cure any default of the lease agreement in the event it is not taken care of in a timely manner by the Tenant.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 10/29/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Landlord Estoppel Certificate and Agreement
2. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of authorization for staff to enter into negotiations with Nevada Power Company (NPC) to submit a letter to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) requesting a modified-competitive sale of a portion of Parcel Number 125-17-401-007 (approximately 2.5 acres), located along the north side of Elkhorn Road, approximately 285 feet east of Fort Apache Road - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

CLV received Nevada Power Company's unsolicited proposal letter 7/24/00 requesting to place an electrical substation on a portion of land CLV has leased with BLM. Staff received a recommendation to submit a letter to BLM 1/17/01 for a non-competitive sale on this site. BLM suggested that a request for a modified-competitive sale would be more likely to be approved. As growth occurs in the valley, NPC requires additional sites to place substations to continue to provide valley residents with essential services. The property has already been designated by the Planning Dept. as being appropriate for a substation.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 10/29/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval to submit a letter to BLM and proceed with negotiations with NPC and BLM

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3-36, 39-64, and 66-72 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 34 because it involves a pawnbroker license

Item 37: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Items 38 and 65: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1041

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Manager (CM) Report will be a vehicle for the City Manager to update the Council on emerging issues that may have an impact on the City of Las Vegas. The CM Report will be a recurring item for every Council meeting. If there are no items for the particular meeting, the City Manager will recommend that the item be stricken.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:**No action required. Report given.****MINUTES:**

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE advised that after the events of 9-11-2001, staff felt it important to schedule a regular item on the agenda to allow staff to report emerging issues to the Council members.

As far as the economic outlook, she reported that there have been positive indicators of recovery, as the hotel occupancy levels have almost increased to normal, downtown room tax for September was only down about 6%, and one of the two larger conventions has been rescheduled. Although Comdex numbers are projected to be lower, that is not due to the events of 9-11-01, but mainly because of the dot.com industry. September numbers for sales and gaming are not due until November 13 and 20, so staff will report on their status at the November 21 Council meeting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Administrative

Item 73 – Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

MINUTES – Continued:

The true impact to the building and construction industry will not be known for another six months, but currently building permits and sewer services are up slightly and business licenses are up approximately 6%. Also, Moody's Investors Service and Standard & Poor's Ratings Services reaffirmed bond ratings for the City's Northwest Golf Course bond sale and reported favorably with respect to the financial policies of the City.

Conservatively, City staff anticipates a potential impact of seven to fifteen million dollars to this year's projected revenues, some of which will be absorbed by the current hiring freeze. MS. VALENTINE pointed out that the reorganization of the Administrative Services Department resulted in a savings of approximately \$200,000 and it will now be under the administration of DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL.

There was no further discussion.

(9:40 – 9:44)

1-1148

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action on offering a Voluntary Separation Program to certain employee groups that have 20+ years of service with the City (\$1,800,000 - Multiple Funds)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$1,800,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: All**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: Multiple****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City has proposed offering a Voluntary Separation Program (VSP) to certain employee groups with 20 years or more of service with the City. It is recommended that the VSP be approved by Mayor & Council subject to the final review of participants by the City Manager for each specific employee's participation. The application period for the VSP will run from November 12, 2001 to December 14, 2001. Eligible employees will be able to separate from employment with the City between January 1, 2002 and June 30, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Voluntary Separation Program be approved by the Mayor and City Council.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

CLAUDETTE ENUS, Director, Human Resources, described the proposed Voluntary Separation Program, which will result in a cost of \$1.8 million in order to buy out employees with annual salaries totaling approximately \$3.4 million. Staff anticipates that the total of those salaries would be recouped within the initial three to four months, depending on those positions not being filled immediately, which is the recommendation of staff. The only concern of staff in offering voluntary separation is that customer service might suffer. However, CITY MANAGER VALENTINE will have the ultimate discretion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Administrative

Item 74 – Discussion and possible action on offering a Voluntary Separation Program to certain employee groups that have 20+ years of service with the City

MINUTES - Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY questioned the type of response received thus far. MS. ENUS answered that there has been tremendous interest in the program.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if any consideration has been given to allowing employees to sellback 80 hours of vacation to the City, as it is currently done for executive employees, because there are many employees who are not under executive status that are at the verge of losing vacation hours, which is unfair in his opinion. MS. ENUS responded that the Executive Employees Compensation Plan sets that policy. However, she will further review it and respond to COUNCILMAN WEEKLY.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD questioned the process that will be used in determining which positions will remain frozen and which will need to be refilled, as well as how many employees have expressed an interest. MS. ENUS indicated that the Position Justification Committee, consisting of CITY MANAGER VALENTINE, DEPUTY CITY MANAGERS HOUCHESS and SELBY, FINANCE DIRECTOR MARK VINCENT, and herself, would review all position justification applications before any positions are filled, and she estimated that approximately 50 employees with 20 years or more service have expressed an interest.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD expressed her full support, as it is a great cost-saving measure in these times.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked if the \$1.8 million is available. MS. ENUS replied that those funds are available. MR. VINCENT further indicated that a budget augmentation was made last year in anticipation of a buyout.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed MS. ENUS that after the application period closes, December 14, she put together and present, via the Agenda or a CMIR (City Manager Information Report), the anticipated projections. Also, an in-depth analysis of what is exactly being done with the hiring freeze and the buyouts in order to see where those two measures will allow flexibility in the budget for possible emergencies.

There was no further discussion.

(9:44 – 9:51)

1-1280

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action on a proposed interlocal agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County that would establish a joint position on corporate boundaries, future annexations, land use planning, transportation planning, parks and trails planning and urban services

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas and Clark County have identified a need to create a framework for future growth in the northwest portion of the Las Vegas Valley. This proposed interlocal agreement would establish joint positions on the corporate boundaries of the City, which also includes annexation exceptions as identified by the County, as well as future annexation provisions. It also provides for joint land use planning allowing both entities to work together to develop a seamless land use and development plan. Other items included in the interlocal agreement are provisions related to development review, zoning consistency, joint transportation planning, joint parks and trails planning, sewer service provisions, water reuse plants, and fire services.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council receive the report and direct staff accordingly.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Draft Clark County Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas

MOTION:

BROWN – STRIKE - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Administrative

Item 75 – Discussion and possible action on a proposed interlocal agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County that would establish a joint position on corporate boundaries, future annexations, land use planning, transportation planning, parks and trails planning and urban services

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMEN MACK and BROWN directed City Manager staff to continue to work closely with the County.

(9:51 – 10:04)

1-1549

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Malvin Ray Johnson, 2981 Country Manor Lane #123, Las Vegas, NV 89115

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 38, 65, 76, 77, 78, and 101 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37)

1-974

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Jerrod Mack Wesley, 2317 El Cerrito Circle, Las Vegas, NV 89108

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 38, 65, 76, 77, 78, and 101 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37)

1-974

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Shaun Kalen Wellborn, 207 Alaska Jade, Henderson, Nevada 89014

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 38, 65, 76, 77, 78, and 101 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37)

1-974

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Road, Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kay L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas, (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #156 - Special Use Permit #U-0031-00) - Ward 6 (Mack)**

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE to 1/2/2002 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and requested abeyance for 60 days.

NOTE: All discussion for Items 79 and 80 took place under related Item 156 (U-0031-00).

(3:58 – 4:01)

5-2967

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Cardivan Company, db at Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Road, **(NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #156 - Special Use Permit #U-0031-00) - Ward 6 (Mack)**

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE to 1/2/2002 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and requested abeyance for 60 days.

NOTE: All discussion for Items 79 and 80 took place under related Item 156 (U-0031-00).

(3:58 – 4:01)

5-2967

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Gift Shop Limited Licenses subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Tower Merchandise Management, LLC, dba: Stratosphere Gifts, 2000 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Space 52-3, Space 852, Space 853, Space D-04, Space 0107, Space 07-112, The Todd & Vivica Marshall Revocable Trust, Mgr, Mmbr, 70%, Todd Marshall, Trustee, Cari Marshall Trust, Mmbr, 30%, Cari Marshall, Trustee - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Gift Shop Limited Licenses

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Alicia R. Ashcraft, Esq.

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the temporary license, authorizing staff to issue the permanent license once the fire and planning codes and Health Department regulations have been met – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he previously had a financial relationship with TODD MARSHALL that would not affect his ability to vote.

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY WILLIAM URGAS, Jolley, Urga, Wirth, and Woodbury, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that the applicant received a favorable report from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. He recommended approval of the temporary license and requested authorization to approve the permanent license once the fire and planning codes and Health Department regulations are met.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 81 – Discussion and possible action regarding temporary approval of Gift Shop Limited Licenses subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Department regulations, Stratosphere Gifts, The Todd & Vivica Marshall Revocable Trust

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(10:04 – 10:06)

1-2086

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Massage Establishment License, Tavern Liquor License and a Liquor Caterer License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: The Resort at Summerlin, LP, dba Regent Las Vegas, The Resort at Summerlin, Inc., Gen Ptnr, 1% (a wholly owned subsidiary of Swiss Casinos of America, Inc.), Hans R. Jecklin, Dir, John J. Tipton, Dir, SVP, CFO, Christiane Jecklin, Dir, Jeffrey H. Smith, Secy, Treas, Swiss Casinos of America, Inc., Ltd Ptnr, 75.58%, Hans R. Jecklin, Dir, John J. Tipton, Dir, Pres, CFO, Jeffrey H. Smith, Secy, Treas, Seven Circle Resorts, Inc., Mgr, (a wholly owned subsidiary of Swiss Casinos of America, Inc.) Hans R. Jecklin, Dir, John J. Tipton, Dir, Pres, CFO, Christiane Jecklin, Dir, Jeffrey H. Smith, Asst Secy, To: Hotspur Resorts Nevada, Inc., dba The Resort on Rampart Blvd., 221 North Rampart Blvd., Thaddas L. Alston, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, Hotspur Global Limited, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Massage Establishment License, Tavern Liquor License and a Liquor Caterer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from James G. Wolff, Esq.
3. Status Certificate

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY BILL CURRAN, Curran & Perry, 601 S. Rancho Drive, appeared representing THADDAS ALSTON, who was present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 82 – Discussion and possible action regarding temporary approval of change of ownership and business name for a massage establishment license, tavern liquor license, and a liquor caterer license subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Department regulations, from The Resort at Summerlin, dba Regent Las Vegas, to Hotspur Resorts Nevada, Inc., dba The Resort on Rampart Boulevard, Thaddas L. Alston

MINUTES – Continued:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that the applicant met the requirements for a temporary license.

ATTORNEY CURRAN explained that the bankruptcy court approved the sale of the hotel to MR. ALSTON. The current owner is going to continue to operate the casino with an agreement approved by the bankruptcy court, while MR. ALSTON undergoes the approximate six-month process for a gaming license. He assured the Council that it is a temporary arrangement that allows the hotel to operate during the transition period.

COUNCILMAN BROWN welcomed MR. ALSTON and noted that his reputation precedes him. He hopes that he can make this property successful. ATTORNEY CURRAN indicated that although MR. ALSTON is perfectly capable of operating the hotel, as he holds a law degree from Harvard Law School and is experienced in operating luxury hotel properties, he will not be operating the hotel and making the day-to-day decisions. He will always have the assistance of experienced and licensed personnel.

There was no further discussion.

(10:06 – 10:12)

1-2164

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Xiao Ping Wang, dba Far East Massage Center, 5000 West Oakey Blvd., Suite D-2, Xiao P. Wang, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. E-mail from W. L. R. Cassidy
3. Map

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because of the applicant’s relationship to one of his employees

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicant met the requirements for a temporary license. She was approved in August for an independent massage license.

There was no further discussion.

(10:12)
1-2398

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding negotiation of a contract for the Operations and Management of the Northwest Family Golf Course with Evergreen Alliance Golf Limited, Request for Proposal No. 010070-LR - Finance & Business Services - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** Unknown at this time☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance & Business Services☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Golf Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A Request for Proposal was issued for the Operation and Management (O&M) of the Northwest Family Golf Course to be constructed at Durango/Gowan/Cheyenne Roads. The City received three proposals which were reviewed and evaluated, with oral presentations by firms during the week of August 6, 2001. Council previously directed Staff to negotiate with Walters Group, but they withdrew their bid before negotiations could commence. Based on the attached Proposal Evaluation Summary, Evergreen Alliance Golf Limited is the only other acceptable proposer for negotiation of the O&M contract.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council give staff approval to negotiate a potential O&M contract with Evergreen Alliance Golf Limited.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

September 4, 2001 Proposal Evaluation Summary

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

TODD WATSON, representing Evergreen Alliance Golf Limited, was present.

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, advised that the Walters Group withdrew its proposal; therefore, staff was requesting to negotiate with Evergreen Alliance.

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned the status on the negotiations of Evergreen Alliance in Henderson. MR. WATSON replied that the process would continue for another 30 to 60 days.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 84 – Discussion and possible action regarding negotiations of a contract for the Operations and Management of the Northwest Family Golf Course with Evergreen Alliance Golf Limited, Request for Proposal No. 010070-LR

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked when the negotiations with Evergreen would be completed. MR. VINCENT answered that staff would like to be able to bring the negotiated contract back at the 12/5/2001 Council meeting. COUNCILMAN BROWN confirmed with MR. VINCENT that staff is coordinating the management contract with the recent construction contract. MR. VINCENT further indicated that Public Works hired a construction manager and the pre-construction meeting was scheduled for the following day.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked MR. VINCENT to contact the City of Henderson and obtain the full story on their negotiations with Evergreen Alliance. MR. VINCENT indicated that his staff did speak with JOHN RINALDI, Project Manager of the Henderson Golf Course, who indicated that the major issues have been negotiated. Only a few minor issues remain that he anticipates will be resolved.

There was no further discussion.

(10:12 – 10:16)

1-2453

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to amend the park naming policies and procedures

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In 1992, the City Council unanimously passed a park naming policy which detailed the criteria for naming a parks or recreation facility within the City of Las Vegas. The intent of the original policy was to encourage the naming of parks or facilities according to their neighborhoods and communities, function and usage or in recognition of monetary contributions. The original policy expressly prevented naming park or recreation facilities after any living person. This policy was developed by the Park and Recreation Advisory Commission after researching the trends of other cities during that period of time

RECOMMENDATION:

The Department of Leisure Services recommends approval of this amended park naming policy

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Original Policy
3. Park/Recreation Facility Naming Policy
4. Park/Recreation Facility Park Naming Policy Procedures

MOTION:**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with REESE not voting****MINUTES:**

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services, explained that the amendment would allow the City Council the option to honor those that are deceased or living without changing the current park naming process. She noted that the Park Advisory Board at its October meeting indicated a desire to retain the current park naming policy. With all due respect to the Park Advisory Board, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that too much time is spent honoring those who are no longer living. There are many hardworking citizens that should be recognized and allowed to enjoy that while they are living. He expressed his support for DR. JACKSON'S recommendation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Leisure Services

Item 85 – Discussion and possible action to amend the park naming policies and procedures

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(10:16 – 10:18)

1-2601

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Buffalo and Oakey - Ward 1(M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On October 10, 2001, at the monthly Park and Recreation Advisory Commission meeting, the park naming sub-committee reported the recommendation of All American Park for the park site known as Buffalo park site located at Buffalo and Oakey. The vote by the Board was approved to recommend the name to the City Council

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:**M. McDONALD – APPROVED the recommended name of All American Park – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services, stated that the Park and Recreation Advisory Board recommended the naming of the subject park as All American Park. The Department of Leisure Services is in concurrence with that recommendation.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked DR. JACKSON if the Advisory Board would have recommended naming the park after a living person if the park naming policy had not been changed. DR. JACKSON felt that the Advisory Board would have still wanted to name the park All American Park. MAYOR GOODMAN asked if that name could be changed in the future. DR. JACKSON indicated that the amended policy that was approved under Item No. 85 gives the Council the freedom to change the name of a park.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Leisure Services

Item 86 – Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Buffalo and Oakey

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that there was discussion among neighbors regarding different names. However, with the tragic events of September 11, 2001, the name All American Park took on a different meaning. There were 5,000 people that showed up for the grand opening to show their support.

There was no further discussion.

(10:18 – 10:21)

1-2732

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Report, discussion and possible action to direct staff regarding policy to address vacant, abandoned and blighted properties and possible City code changes - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A team of staff from Neighborhood Services, Building & Safety, Field Operations, City Attorney's office, Doug Rankin and Office of City Manager have been meeting as directed by Mayor and Council to develop more effective and efficient procedures including necessary city ordinance changes regarding vacant and abandoned properties. Extensive research of other entities' best practices and site visits have allowed formulation of policies and a cost recovery program to protect property values and improve physical appearance and safety of the City of Las Vegas neighborhoods and commercial areas.

RECOMMENDATION:

Receive the staff report and provide direction to staff regarding final form of Neighborhood Services procedures and preparation of ordinances to deal with vacant, abandoned and blighted properties.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Draft Boarded Building Work Flow Process
2. Draft Abandoned/Vacant Building Abatement Specifications/Maintenance Standards
3. Draft Abandoned/Vacant Building Statement of Intent
4. Draft Code Enforcement Fee Schedule
5. Summary of Primary City of Las Vegas Enforcement Codes

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended, authorizing staff to expeditiously draft an ordinance – **UNANIMOUS**

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 87 – Report, discussion and possible action to direct staff regarding policy to address vacant, abandoned and blighted properties and possible City code changes

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES:

DOUG SELBY, Deputy City Manager

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services

DAVE SEMENZA, Manager, Neighborhood Response

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney

MICKIE JOHNSON, National Association of Industrial and Office Properties

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed that staff brief the individual Council members on the possible pursuit of abating and demolishing all nuisances and billing for reimbursement.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stressed that he hopes the new ordinance will have more teeth to enable the City to go after absent landowners. ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN assured COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that he would try to address that in the ordinance.

(10:21 – 10:42)

1-2865/2-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding reallocation of \$1,038,662.13 of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds from various completed projects and program income to the Downtown Community Center with Progress Report of Center Status - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,038,662.13☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CDBG**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The following projects are completed or fully funded and the amounts remaining from the initial allocations will be reprogrammed to the Downtown Community Center: CDBG Admin, \$56,569.15; Nevada Homes for Youth, \$12.22; Economic Development Loans, \$100,000; NALA-Kitchen Equipment, \$430.76; Architectural Services for CDBG Projects, \$289,650; Maryland Villas Child Care facility, \$317,000. In addition, \$275,000 of program income is being allocated.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that City Council approve the reallocation of funding.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Progress Report
2. Project Timelines
3. Letter from Asian American Ad Hoc Committee

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 11/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services, reported that City staff met with a diverse group of Asian Americans to discuss their involvement and support for the Community Center. The Asian American Ad Hoc Committee sent a letter of support for programs and operation of the Center. Since the original architectural agreement for the project was terminated, a new architect has been identified, and new timelines have been developed. If approved, the Center will open in December of 2002.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 88 – Discussion and possible action regarding reallocation of \$1,038,662.13 of Community Development Block Grant funds from various completed projects and program income to the Downtown Community Center with Progress Report of Center Status

MINUTES – Continued:

The agenda item concerns the reallocation of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds in the amount of \$1,038,662.13 that were identified in the last fiscal year's capital improvement budget process to fully fund the required rehabilitation that will allow the Downtown Community Center to become a reality. She requested approval.

COUNCILMAN BROWN expressed concern that this project did not go through the Capital Programs priority process and that a needs assessment has not been done for this project because, according to the Progress Report, the project was approved to move forward. MS. SEGERBLOM explained that moving forward included being able to secure the building and to find out the full cost of rehabilitation. Staff has not moved forward with the project since June 24, 2001, except to speak with the architects.

COUNCILMAN BROWN questioned whether this project was being taken off the hold status and whether a needs assessment was done. He noted that there are underserved areas of town that are more of a priority. MS. SEGERBLOM replied that the project was procured with federal funds and that staff is only requesting rehabilitation funds.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked how the project got to this point and how much money has been spent on the project to date. He stressed that the Council has to decide whether they want this project, because questions keep coming up relating to the need for this project. MS. SEGERBLOM indicated that she was confused as to the funding for the project. COUNCILMAN BROWN clarified that the whole issue of CDBG funding versus general fund monies was discussed at the June 24, 2001, meeting, at which time he questioned whether federal funds can be used for other projects, such as the Doolittle Center, so that the City can better manage its money and meet as many needs as possible. MS. SEGERBLOM noted that the matter was placed on the agenda at the request of the Council. COUNCILMAN BROWN remarked that all the Council members want certain things for their wards, but his understanding, through all his briefings, was that all projects would undergo the needs assessment process.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reiterated that the Council needs to make up its mind about whether to go forward with this project and define how it got to this point. MAYOR GOODMAN clarified that the project got to this point because the Council approved the purchase of the facility and because discussions have been held with the Asian community about putting up funds to maintain and operate the facility. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated that although the building was acquired, an analysis on the highest and best use of the facility has not been conducted. She concurred with the comments of COUNCILMAN BROWN.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 88 – Discussion and possible action regarding reallocation of \$1,038,662.13 of Community Development Block Grant funds from various completed projects and program income to the Downtown Community Center with Progress Report of Center Status

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the problem is that all the Council members were relying on the needs assessment report that was supposed to be completed in mid September and is not yet done. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he is eager to see the report too, because there is in fact a tremendous need for facilities in this geographic area.

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE interjected and requested the matter be held in abeyance, as the needs assessment is available in draft form and should be completely ready by the next Council meeting.

COUNCILMAN BROWN apologized to MS. SEGERBLOM for possibly implying that her staff is at fault, because they are not. He is well aware of how she and her staff work to obtain every dollar available for these types of facilities. The dollars are very limited, and they must be spent wisely where there are true needs.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to provide him with a copy of the draft needs assessment report that afternoon.

There was no further discussion.

(10:42 – 10:58)

2-192

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on a Professional Services Agreement with JMA Architecture Studios for Architectural and Engineering design services to rehabilitate the Downtown Community Center located at 302 South 9th Street for \$177,090 of Community Development Block Grant funding - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$177,090

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Community Development Block Grant

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

As rehabilitation of the Downtown Community Center for occupancy progressed, staff realized that in order to meet set timelines and occupy the building as expeditiously as possible, a new architect firm capable of handling larger responsibilities and faster turnaround was required. JMA Architecture Studios has demonstrated with past and current city projects that it has the staffing capabilities to meet the city requirements.

RECOMMENDATION:

City Manager recommends that the Council approve this Professional Services Agreement with JMA Architectural Studios.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 11/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services, was present.

See Item 88 for related discussion.

(10:58)

2-702

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Report on Homelessness Task Force activities with discussion and possible action on the allocation of \$100,000 in HOME funds to establish a Trust Fund to House the Homeless and \$200,000 in General Funds to support the provision of emergency shelter and supportive services - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$300,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: General Fund/HOME****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In response to information presented at the Homelessness Task Force meeting held on October 24, 2001, it is proposed to allocate \$100,000 in federal HOME funds for establishing a Trust Fund for Homeless Housing. As budgeted for the Fiscal Year 2001-2002, the City Council approved \$200,000 in General Funds to augment the capacity of emergency service providers to provide shelter and supportive services, during times of extreme hot and cold weather. The funding is targeted for "operational support" and may be applied to any non-construction cost, such as rent, salaries, food, and equipment.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends to accept a report and to consider the following allocations: \$100,000 in HOME funds for a Trust Fund for Homeless Housing, and in General Funds, \$51,000 to S.V.D.P. Management, Inc., \$50,000 to LVMPD, and \$33,000 each to Catholic Charities, Salvation Army, and the Shade Tree.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

Submitted at the meeting: written comments of Mr. McGowan

Video was shown but not submitted

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – motion carried with REESE voting NO, L.B. McDONALD abstaining because she is a Trustee and Corporate Secretary for Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada, and MACK abstaining in an abundance of caution because he is an advisory board member of M.A.S.H. Village

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 90 – Report on Homelessness Task Force activities with discussion and possible action on the allocation of \$100,000 in HOME funds to establish a Trust Fund to House the Homeless and \$200,000 in General Funds to support the provision of emergency shelter and supportive services

MINUTES:

BILL ARENT, Neighborhood Services, reported that on October 24, 2001, the Homelessness Task Force of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC) met to receive an Action Plan from Home Base, which is a Housing and Urban Development (HUD) sponsored consultant, that has been hired on behalf of the community and the SNRPC to enhance the region's homeless strategy. The Action Plan contained proposed action steps, including respective implementation options to be carried out in a three-month term, six-month term, or thirty-six-month term.

One long-term option that warrants immediate attention is the establishment of a regional fund to support the creation of more housing for extremely low-income households. This option is supported by the Action Plan and through this agenda item, the City is recommending that \$100,000 in federal HOME funds be allocated to establish this fund.

Administrative Resources, through a regional trust fund, poses a number of advantages for the community: 1) single funding mechanism for supporting the development of new homes for low-income households, which helps those seeking to exit homelessness and those that are most at risk of homelessness. Staff believes that the attacks of 9/11/2001 really stress that need; 2) an opportunity to apply a single set of conditions that will avoid a duplication of services; 3) the opportunity to invest resources in order to create an interest-bearing asset for ensuring future development and the provision of services in the long term.

One of the recommendations of Home Base to the SNRPC was to determine and make available what is needed to fully use all available beds immediately. To support this action, this agenda item proposes the allocation of \$51,000 to enable to M.A.S.H. Village to operate the temporary tent structure from November 2001 through March 2002. The building permit issued by Building and Safety allows for the operation of that building through April 2002.

In addition, the matter contemplates approval of \$50,000 for the Downtown Area Command of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, with whom Neighborhood Services has held discussions to address the needs of all citizens of Las Vegas.

Finally, he indicated that staff was recommending approval of funding in the amount of \$33,000 to Catholic Charities, Salvation Army, and to Shade Tree to allow them to augment services during times of extreme weather.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 90 – Report on Homelessness Task Force activities with discussion and possible action on the allocation of \$100,000 in HOME funds to establish a Trust Fund to House the Homeless and \$200,000 in General Funds to support the provision of emergency shelter and supportive services

MINUTES - Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE stated that allocation of \$100,000 for the establishment of a Trust Fund for the homeless might be of some help. However, he felt that allocating the other monies would be a total waste. For six years he has witnessed the homeless problem escalate with every additional dollar that is applied to that problem. In his opinion, the homeless situation should be addressed and handled at the federal level and they should appropriate funding for the mentally ill. The County should also be held responsible and build a homeless shelter in the County to help alleviate the problem in the City. He stressed that he could not support the request.

At the request of MAYOR GOODMAN, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL reported that she had discussions with SENATOR HARRY REID'S Chief of Staff regarding this matter. He indicated that SENATOR REID is very interested in working with the City in the next congressional session on some funding efforts to address the issues of the mentally ill, homeless, and veterans with homeless issues. Staff is also trying to take advantage of some displaced worker funds that may become available in response to the 9/11/2001 tragedies.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY remarked that his concerns and frustrations with the homeless situation in Ward 5 began to reach their peak about a year ago. Many Ward 5 residents have cried out for some type of assistance in addressing the problem, because it has truly impacted some neighborhoods directly. He hopes that other local entities will share the burden and build homeless shelters in areas outside of the City. But after witnessing directly with RICKY BARLOW and BROTHER DAVE BUER the homeless conditions in Ward 5, of which a video was presented, he now believes that the City has a responsibility to assist those people, because that could happen to anybody, especially since the events of 9/11/2001 when so many people lost their jobs.

He believes that allocating \$100,000 to establish a Trust Fund for the homeless, along with the dialogue that has started at the regional level may not be the solution, but it is a start. He strongly supported funding the tent. He thanked ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL for her efforts in trying to obtain federal funding to assist the homeless.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD agreed with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S comments that the City has a responsibility to assist those in need.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 90 – Report on Homelessness Task Force activities with discussion and possible action on the allocation of \$100,000 in HOME funds to establish a Trust Fund to House the Homeless and \$200,000 in General Funds to support the provision of emergency shelter and supportive services

MINUTES - Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY interjected that he believes the people in the tent will be able to transition into the Catholic Charities facility, once it is up and running. Also, he apologized to his constituents for having to bear the problem, but he indicated that he cannot turn his back on those individuals that need assistance.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, submitted written comments insisting that he has the solution to many socioeconomic problems, but none of the local government entities have expressed an interest. It may come to the point where the entities will have no choice, because some top government employees may become homeless if there are anymore terrorist strikes to impact the economy even more.

BROTHER DAVE BUER, 1420 West Barlett, thanked COUNCILMAN WEEKLY for going into the areas most afflicted with homeless individuals. He commented that the current high homeless situation is due to the reconstruction of the Catholic Charities facility.

FRAND PERNA, Clark County resident, read a letter expressing his concerns as far as the unfair treatment of veterans at the parade and the government's failure to provide veterans with everything they deserve. In his opinion, the Regional Planning Coalition and Homelessness Task Force should ask homeless advocates to implement solutions. More assistance is needed from governmental entities at all levels.

BRENDA DEZAN, Executive Director, Shade Tree Shelter, provided some homeless facts. During the first year of operating the new shelter, more women and children were served than in the entire ten years in the old shelter. A year ago there were four local homeless providers to women and children; today there are only two: The Shade Tree and the Las Vegas Rescue Mission. Last year The Shade Tree spent fifty-two cents a day to feed each woman and child, which is very responsible. From August to October of this year, the homeless individuals that were housed at the Shade Tree increased from approximately 4,500 to 6,400, with more than half consisting of children. The Shade Tree will continue to operate as responsibly and cost effectively as possible. In fact, because of private contributions to the Shade Tree, some capital funds were returned to the City to be applied to other capital projects.

Lastly, she noted that a policy-making conference facilitated by the federal government would be held in Santa Fe, New Mexico, to address the homelessness issue.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 90 – Report on Homelessness Task Force activities with discussion and possible action on the allocation of \$100,000 in HOME funds to establish a Trust Fund to House the Homeless and \$200,000 in General Funds to support the provision of emergency shelter and supportive services

MINUTES - Continued:

FRANK RICCO, Director of Residential Services for Catholic Charities, pointed out that among the homeless areas are also many individuals that work and should be provided with security. As far as there not being a solution, he indicated that prior to the closure of Catholic Charities for reconstruction, the Catholic Charities Residents Work Program provided an excess of 30,000 work days for individuals that are considered homeless, as well as full-time jobs for 69% of the individuals in the work program. People need to understand that homelessness is not a category and that it may only be transitional for some individuals. He thanked the City Council for its support and interest in trying to find long-term solutions.

DWAYNE SOLENBERG, Administrator for the Homeless Services Department of the Salvation Army, concurred with the comments of MR. RICCO and MS. DEZAN about the current needs. He pointed out that the primary effort of many agencies that provide services to the homeless is to move people from the streets to productive independent living. That evening alone 15 people would be graduating from the Salvation Army's Culinary Program. Five of those individuals were offered jobs at the Imperial Palace. Many of the previous program graduates employ new graduates. He concurred with the long-term funding that may provide resources for the homeless.

DOROTHY BARNES, 2575 Sherwood, #26-A, Civil Rights Activist, said that she has always been a productive citizen and has wanted to earn her own living. When she went to Nevada Mental Health, she was insulted. She has been discriminated against many times and her name and social security number have been used by people to embezzle large amounts of money, cheat and steal, to sponsor programs that encourage drugs, prostitution, and homosexuality. The system uses people and creates homelessness for its benefit.

DAN CONTRERAS, West Las Vegas resident, stated that he wants to help the homeless but not to the detriment of his neighborhood and their quality of life. Many of the residents in his neighborhood are at or below the poverty level and are really struggling to survive. He wants the homeless out of his backyard.

RUTH BRULAND clarified for MAYOR GOODMAN that if the matter was approved, the tent would open on the evening of 11/15/2001. And that the people affected by the events of 9/11/2001 are accessing Crisis Intervention Center services to prevent losing their homes. They are looking for alternative employment and accessing the clinic. However, those people are not yet looking for housing.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 90 – Report on Homelessness Task Force activities with discussion and possible action on the allocation of \$100,000 in HOME funds to establish a Trust Fund to House the Homeless and \$200,000 in General Funds to support the provision of emergency shelter and supportive services

MINUTES - Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that as a result of discussions with COUNCILMAN REESE, who believes there is no progress with the homeless issue, and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY, who informed him that his constituents are seriously impacted by the homeless situation, he agrees with COUNCILMAN REESE that dumping money into the problem is not the solution and it is just exacerbating it. Las Vegas has become a homeless destination, and that has to come to an end by regionally addressing the problem. Setting up the homeless trust fund is the beginning of a long-term solution. The \$50,000 to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department is important to create a semblance of order in the homeless corridor for the protection of the citizenry as well as the homeless. He is a big supporter of helping those that want to help themselves, but he has no tolerance for those that are able-bodied and just want to abuse the system. He stressed that the County has to take responsibility, as written in State law, to take care of the homeless, because it is not the City's responsibility.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed City Manager staff to compile a report on what other major cities, such as New York, San Diego, Chicago, Portland, Los Angeles, San Francisco, and Salt Lake City, are doing to address their homeless problems.

There was no further discussion.

(10:58 – 11:45)

2-725

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Public hearing, discussion and possible action regarding a petition filed pursuant to NRS 463.3086(2) by City Parkway IV to designate the location for establishment of a proposed Gaming Enterprise District (GED) on property (approximately 56 acres) located along the east side of Grand Central Parkway between Bonneville Avenue and Ogden Avenue, east of I-15 and south of US95, also known as 100 Grand Central Parkway - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Chapter 463 of the Nevada Revised Statutes allows for a person to petition a local government to consider the establishment of a Gaming Enterprise District for non-restricted gaming outside of the Las Vegas Boulevard Gaming Corridor and the Rural Clark County gaming zone. City Parkway IV has petitioned the City for this consideration in order to preserve all options for development of the property located at 100 Grand Central Parkway. The state statute requires a public hearing as part of the City's consideration of the petition. The petitioner must also demonstrate that the proposal meets the statutory requirements at the hearing. This item is to meet those requirements and for Council consideration of the petition.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Gaming Enterprise Petition from Parkway IV
3. Map Showing Notification Radius
4. Map of Proposed GED Buffers

Submitted Subsequent to the meeting: Certified Transcript provided by Associated Reporters of Nevada per requirements of NRS 463

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining because of her affiliation with the University of Nevada School of Medicine and BROWN abstaining because of his employment with Mandalay Bay Sports who might be a tenant on the property

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 91 – Public hearing, discussion, and possible action regarding a petition filed pursuant to NRS 463.3086(2) by City Parkway IV to designate the location for establishment of a proposed Gaming Enterprise District (GED)

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that a court reporter was present. He then verified with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that despite COUNCILMAN BROWN'S and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD'S abstentions a quorum was present to hear the item.

CHRIS KNIGHT, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department, reported that in accordance with NRS 463, City Parkway IV petitioned the City for a gaming enterprise district on property commonly referred to as Union Pacific Railroad property, which includes 56 acres under the control of City Parkway IV. The process requires a public hearing, which is the reason for this item. There are requirements for notification, as well as for consideration of a gaming enterprise district. The City mailed notices on October 5, 2001, to each of the owners of real property located within 2500 feet of the property line of the proposed location. It is required that notices also be mailed to tenants of a mobile home park that is within 2500 of the gaming enterprise district and the advisory board of that mobile home park; however, there are no mobile home parks within 2500 feet of this location.

It is the burden of the petitioner to prove that the roads, utilities, and related services are adequate to support a gaming enterprise district, and that the gaming enterprise district will not unduly impact public services consumption of natural resources and the quality of life enjoyed by surrounding residents. The gaming enterprise district must enhance, expand, and stabilize employment and its local economy, and it must be located in an area zoned for that purpose.

He pointed out that the submitted backup includes documentation, an agenda memo, and copies of the petition. Staff believes that the applicant has met all of the required conditions.

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business of Development, appeared representing City Parkway IV and confirmed for MAYOR GOODMAN that City Parkway IV has met all of the requirements for a gaming enterprise district. She noted that the subject property is bound to the north by I-93/I-95, to the west by I-15, and to the east by the railroad, which provides separation to any residences within the required 2500 feet. In fact, only 56 acres out of the total 61 are being included in the petition to provide a clear separation. It is anticipated that approximately 25,000 jobs would be created. She opined that the project would be an improvement to the surrounding community.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 91 – Public hearing, discussion, and possible action regarding a petition filed pursuant to NRS 463.3086(2) by City Parkway IV to designate the location for establishment of a proposed Gaming Enterprise District (GED)

MINUTES - Continued:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC added that a second petition for a gaming enterprise district is on file from Union Pacific Railroad for property located to the north of this parcel that will be heard at a future Council meeting. In studying the capacity of the subject parcel, staff also took into consideration that petition. MS. CODER pointed out that the subject petition was amended to reduce the casino from 350,000 square feet to 300,000 square feet and from 5,000 to 4,500 rooms in anticipation of Union Pacific's petition.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that he found all of the requirements of NRS to have been met.

ATTORNEY TORRY SOMERS, Hale, Lane, Peek, Dennison, Howard & Anderson, 2300 West Sahara Avenue, appeared representing Union Pacific Railroad, who is in support of the petition.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(11:45 – 11:56)

2-2575

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Report on the status of Park projects

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Engineering Integration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Department of Public Works would like to update the Mayor and City Council on the status of park projects.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

Submitted at the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint presentation

MOTION:

None required. Report given.

MINUTES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, introduced JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director, Public Works Department, who used a PowerPoint presentation to report on the status of park projects throughout the City, including those completed, under design or construction, and park plans for the future.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD questioned the amount of the Urban Parks and Recreation Recovery Grant. MR. McNELLIS replied that the total amount of the grant is approximately \$600,000, of which the City has to put up 30%, about \$182,000, in matching funds.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested the status of the Community College Soccer Park. MR. McNELLIS replied that it is moving very slowly, mainly on their part. Minor agreement amendments will be presented to the Council on that in the future.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Public Works

Item 92 – Report on the status of park projects

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE noted that the East Las Vegas Community Center is moving along and the groundbreaking would be held the following week.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD questioned the total amount of acreage available for the intended park on the post office site. MR. McNELLIS estimated an approximate total of 5 acres, depending on the acquisition of the Downtown Transportation Center and the parking area under the freeway that is owned by NDOT.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked if a softball tournament park is being planned. MR. McNELLIS replied that staff is looking into that use for the Lone Mountain Detention Basin Park.

MR. GOECKE noted that it would benefit the City to look into acquiring remnant parcels being created by the widening of US95 to be used as parks. It certainly would beautify the neighborhoods.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed MR. McNELLIS to research any other federal grants that the City can apply for to be used for parks, especially in the urban areas.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD instructed MR. McNELLIS to inform Governor Guinn and seek his support for the All American Park.

There was no further discussion.

(11:56 – 12:18)

2-3147/3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RESOLUTIONS:

R-139-2001 - Discussion and possible action on a Resolution consenting to the relinquishment of portions of Eastern Avenue from Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) to the City of Las Vegas (Estimated \$14,000 annual maintenance cost - Motor Vehicle Gas Tax) - Wards 3 and 5 (Reese and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$14,000 (Est. annual maint.)☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Motor Vehicle Gas Tax**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

NDOT's method of warranting traffic signals is different from other jurisdictions in the Las Vegas Valley. The intersection of Cedar Ave./Eastern, meets city warrants for a signal but not NDOT's. The safety of this intersection can be improved with a traffic signal. A signal will be installed once the city has jurisdiction of the street. By this resolution, the City of Las Vegas consents to accept that portion of Eastern Avenue from Sahara Avenue to Owens Avenue. A distance of 3.1 miles.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-139-2001

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, commented that there are a number of streets under the jurisdiction of the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) that staff has slowly been evaluating the need for taking some of those streets over and returning some other streets. Summerlin Parkway is one street that has been greatly discussed. In this case, staff is recommending taking back Eastern Avenue, from Sahara Avenue to Owens Avenue, so that a traffic signal can be installed at the intersection. The street meets City warrants, but not those of NDOT. He recommended approval so that staff could then schedule the item before NDOT.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Public Works
Item 93 – R-139-2001

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stated that it is a very frustrating issue, because there are streets within the City that are tied to State rules and regulations.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD instructed MR. GOECKE to continue the dialogue with NDOT on perhaps acquiring streets such as Rainbow Boulevard, Charleston Boulevard, and Rancho Road so that the City can have control and hopefully also get the corresponding maintenance dollars from the State.

There was no further discussion.

(12:18 – 12:21)

3-405

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: FIELD OPERATIONS**DIRECTOR: LARRY HAUGSNESS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-140-2001 - Discussion and possible action on a Resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute all application, acceptance, and agreement documents; and authorizing the City Manager or Director of Field Operations to negotiate, execute, and submit all other documents necessary to secure grant funds from the National Park Service (NPS) to rehabilitate James Gay III Park (not to exceed \$182,100 - Capital Projects and General Funds) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** not to exceed \$182,100☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects & General Funds**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Department of Field Operations has been pre-approved to receive a grant from the NPS for the rehabilitation of James Gay III Park in the amount not to exceed \$424,900. The estimated cost of the project is \$607,000. A requirement to receive the grant is for the City to match 30% of the project amount (\$182,100 of which \$130,000 will be funded by Capital Projects & \$52,100 by General Fund labor). The project will include the rehabilitation or installation of the following: jog track, restroom, skate park, perimeter fencing, and playground area.

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council authorize the Mayor to execute all application, acceptance, and agreement documents; and authorize the City Manager or Director of Field Operations to negotiate, execute, and submit all other documents necessary to secure grant funds from NPS to rehabilitate James Gay III Park.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resolution No. R-140-2001
2. Rehabilitation and Innovation Agreement
3. Application for Federal Assistance (Form 424)
4. Assurances - Construction Program (Form 424D)

MOTION:**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Field Operations
Item 94 – R-140-2001

MINUTES:

LARRY HAUGSNESS, Director, Field Operations, stated that some of the cost made up in labor will be used for improvements. He thanked ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL, STACY ALLSBROOK, JOHN BLACK, and STEFAN CRISTO for their assistance in obtaining a good portion of the federal grant for this project. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY also expressed his appreciation.

There was no further discussion.

(12:21 – 12:23)
3-513

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RESOLUTIONS:

R-141-2001 - Discussion and possible action regarding a resolution consenting to certain undertakings of the City of Las Vegas Redevelopment Agency in connection with the Disposition and Development Agreement between the Agency and Pioneer Endeavors, LLC for the development of the parcel located at the southeast corner of 4th Street and Clark Street (APN 139-34-311-126, 127 & 128) (Tax Increment Funds - \$300,000) - Ward 3 (Reese) [NOTE: This item related to Redevelopment Agency Item #D]

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$300,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** OBD/Redevelopment☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Tax increment funds**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In accordance with NRS 279, the Redevelopment Agency may contribute to the cost of improvements subject to authorization of the legislative body (City Council). The City Council must make two findings: 1) the improvements are of benefit to the redevelopment area; and 2) no other reasonable means of financing the improvements are available.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
 2. Resolution R-141-2001
 3. Disposition and Development Agreement including exhibits consisting of map of project location, legal description of the parcel, and Disclosure of Principals
- Submitted at the meeting: revised Disclosure of Principals

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED as amended – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC noted that any action taken on this matter is contingent upon approval of Items B and C on the 11/7/2001 Redevelopment Agency Agenda, as they are related.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Business Development
Item 95 – R-141-2001

MINUTES – Continued:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, indicated that the resolution outlines the content of the Disposition and Development Agreement and reinforces findings that the Council needs to make: that the improvements that are being proposed and the subsequent Redevelopment Agency Development Agreement are a benefit to the Redevelopment area and that there is no other reasonable means available to the applicant of financing the improvements. The agenda memo contained in the backup specifically details the approximate 78,000 square foot building that would commence construction hopefully by December of 2001, to be completed within 12 to 18 months. The City would contribute \$300,000 for public improvements related to the project as well as provide for some offsite parking on the Bank of America property. The tax increment to the Agency for this type of development is approximately \$80,000 a year.

She read a few amendments into the record that the applicant concurs with: the total term of the lease to be 65 years, deleting the phrase “construction of a parking garage under III, Public Improvements, and adding Attachment D (schedule of performance) identifying critical timelines. She recommended approval.

JAMES CHRISMAN, Pioneer Endeavors, Inc., 300 S. Fourth Street, pointed out one additional name to the Disclosure of Principals (Exhibit J): W.R. GRISWOLD, JR. He concurred with the amendments MS. CODER outlined and indicated he is eager to move forward.

There was no further discussion.

(12:23 – 12:27)
3-572

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - ETHICS REVIEW BOARD – Earle W. White, Jr., Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Robert J. Fleming, Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Linda Young, Term Expiration 5-12-2001

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In 1993, Ordinance No. 3699 was enacted abolishing the previous Ethics Committee and establishing an Ethics Review Board consisting of five members, having terms of four years with no limit to the number of terms which may be served. Council members from Wards 1 through 4 were to appoint one person to the Board with the Mayor designating the Chair subject to confirmation by a majority of the City Council. Earle White, appointed in 1997 by Mayor Jones, has served as Chair and Robert Fleming, appointed in 1997 by Councilman Reese, has served as Vice Chair of this Board. Ward 4 Councilman Callister appointed Linda Young in 1997. Mr. White, Mr. Fleming and Ms. Young are eligible for reappointment. At the August 1, 2001 Council Meeting, Ordinance No. 5346 was adopted which expanded the existing Board from 5 to 7 members, keeping the initial appointment process intact. Consequently, these three seats remain eligible for appointment. At the October 3 Council Meeting, this item was abeyed to November 7, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Options are:

Mayor Goodman to reappoint Mr. White OR appoint another citizen as Chairman with Council confirmation;

Councilman Reese to reappoint Mr. Fleming OR appoint another citizen as member;

Councilman Brown to reappoint Ms. Young OR appoint another citizen as member.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Revised Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board
2. Board Interest Forms – James Akers and Renn Reed

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 12/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because of his pending hearing with the Ethics Review Board

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 96 – Ethics Review Board

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:27 – 12:28)

3-720

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on the two or four year appointment of the Ward 6 representative on the Ethics Review Board in accordance with Ordinance No. 5346, adopted August 1, 2001

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

At the City Council Meeting of August 1, 2001, Ordinance No. 5346 was adopted increasing the membership on the Ethics Review Board from 5 to 7 members. This membership increase is in accordance with the increase in the membership of the Las Vegas City Council from 5 to 7 members. The Councilmen for Ward 5 and Ward 6 are each eligible to make one appointment and on September 5, 2001, the Ward 5 appointment was made. The Ward 6 appointment was abeyed to November 7, 2001. Ordinance No. 5346 allows for the term of these initial appointments to be for either two or four years.

RECOMMENDATION:

As four of the five Ethics Review Board members had term expirations in 2001 at the time of the Ordinance's implementation, the City Clerk is recommending that the Ward 6 representative be appointed for a two-year term. It is recommended that Councilman Mack appoint a Ward 6 member with term expiration of 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Revised Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 12/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because of his pending hearing with the Ethics Review Board

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:28 – 12:29)

3-754

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Jack Doyle,
Term Expiration 4-1-2004 (Deceased)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

I have been advised by the Director of the Department of Leisure Services that Mr. Jack Doyle, a valued member of the Park & Recreation Advisory Commission since April 1, 1992, recently passed away. Mr. Doyle's seat is now regrettably vacant and it will be necessary to fill his unexpired term. This item was abeyed at the October 17, 2001 Council Meeting to November 7, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. It will be necessary to fill Mr. Doyle's unexpired term which expires April 1, 2004.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson regarding the vacancy
2. Current Listing & Authority Park & Recreation Advisory Commission
3. Commission Interest Form –Vernell Paul McNeal (Ward 3)
4. Memo from Councilwoman L.B. McDonald and Commission Interest Form for Malcom D. White

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – Motion to APPOINT Malcom D. White, 8504 Copper Falls Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:29)
3-774

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SENIOR CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD – Alberta Allen – Term Expiration 6-2003 (Moved Out of State)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Senior Citizens Advisory Board was created upon Council adoption of Ordinance 5206 on April 19, 2000. This new Board consists of eight members appointed by the City Council. Each of the six members of Council has one coterminous appointment with the appointee residing in the respective member of Council's Ward; the Mayor has two coterminous appointments with members residing in two different Wards. Members may serve for an uninterrupted period not longer than eight years (two terms). Presently, one of the Mayor's representatives, Alberta Allen (Ward 2), has moved out of state. The Mayor's second representative lives in Ward 5. This item was abeyed from the October 17, 2001 Council Meeting to November 7, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Appointment of a new representative by Mayor Goodman from Wards 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6, with the term of office to expire June 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Current Listing & Authority - Senior Citizens' Advisory Board
2. Board Interest Forms: Howard J. Freas (Ward 6), Barbara A. Fraser (Ward 4), Gil Geurin (Ward 5), Margrette Makram (Ward 2), Dan W. Carter, Sr. (Ward 4)

MOTION:

GOODMAN – Motion to APPOINT Rudolph Durso, 7644 Picnic Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:29 – 12:30)

3-796

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - CHILD CARE LICENSING BOARD – June Gilmore – Term Expiration 6-28-2003 (Resigned)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Child Care Licensing Board consists of five members appointed by the City Council with each member of the Council making one appointment. Currently, Las Vegas Municipal Code (LVMC) 6.24 governing this Board is being reviewed for expansion to seven members.

However, at the present time, membership remains at five. Ms. June Gilmore is the coterminous appointment of Councilman Michael McDonald and, with her resignation, Councilman McDonald is eligible to make this appointment. This member must be city resident, may not be a licensed operator of a child care facility, and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. This appointment was abeyed from the Council Meeting of October 17 to November 7, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

It will be necessary for Councilman Michael McDonald to appoint a new representative as his coterminous appointment. This member must be a city resident and may not be licensed operator of a child care facility. The appointee's term will expire June 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Manager of Business Services with resignation letter
2. Current Listing and Authority – Child Care Licensing Board
3. Board Interest Form – Barbara A. Fraser

MOTION:**M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 12/5/2001 – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:30 – 12:31)

3-816

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - LAS VEGAS CENTENNIAL CELEBRATION COMMITTEE – Las Vegas Events Organization Representative, Term Expiration 2005

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

At the City Council Meeting of August 15, 2001, Organizational Representative appointments to this Committee were made. The Organizational Representative for Las Vegas Events, Mike Hessling, has notified the Mayor that he will be unable to serve. It will be necessary for the Mayor to appoint a new Las Vegas Events Representative. Membership is not transferable or assignable unless noted and City residency is not required. Members are appointed until the conclusion of all Centennial activities occurs unless otherwise replaced by Council action due to a member's resignation or inability to continue to serve.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Mayor appoint a Las Vegas Events Organizational Representative to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Board Listing & Authority – Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 38, 65, 76, 77, 78, and 101 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37)

1-974

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

Discussion and possible action on the appointment of Councilman Weekly's representative to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

At the City Council Meeting of August 15, 2001, Councilman Lawrence Weekly's designee, Floyd J. Henderson, was appointed by the Mayor and Council to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee. Since that time, Mr. Henderson has advised Councilman Weekly that he will be unable to serve. Consequently, it will be necessary for Councilman Weekly to recommend a new representative to this Committee. Terms of appointment will be until the conclusion of all Centennial activities occurs, unless otherwise replaced by Council action due to a member's resignation or inability to continue to serve. Membership is not transferable or assignable unless noted and City residency is not required.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Mayor and Council appoint Councilman Weekly's designee to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing & Authority – Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Motion to APPOINT Ryan Arnold, 3225-B S. Rainbow Boulevard, #204, Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:31)
3-834

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Manny Abeyta, Term Expiration 2-20-2003 (Moved Out of State)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

I have been advised by the Director of the Department of Leisure Services that Mr. Manny Abeyta has moved out of State. Mr. Abeyta's term will expire February 20, 2003. There is no City residency requirement, terms are for three years, and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. It will be necessary for a member of City Council to recommend an appointment to fill Mr. Abeyta's unexpired term.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. It will be necessary to fill Mr. Abeyta's unexpired term which expires February 20, 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson regarding the vacancy
2. Current Listing & Authority Park & Recreation Advisory Commission

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 12/5/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:31)
3-848

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-93 – Updates the City’s notification requirements for certain zoning hearings in conformance with State law. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In its 2001 session, the Nevada Legislature adopted AB 553, which adjusted the notification requirements for various types of zoning hearings. This bill will conform the City’s Zoning Code to the new requirements.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/15/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 10/3/2001; First Publication – 10/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-93

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5371 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:31 – 12:32)

3-868

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-94 – Amends the Zoning Code regarding the expansion and redevelopment of certain nonconforming uses. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Several months ago the Council amended the Zoning Code to allow the expansion of certain types of nonconforming uses in appropriate circumstances. This is a follow-up bill to apply those same principles when property is redeveloped. The bill will also allow the continuation of uses that, under some circumstances, have been made nonconforming by the interim establishment of a protected use within a short distance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/15/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 10/3/2001; First Publication – 10/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-94

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5372 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:32 – 12:33)

3-886

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-95 – Amends the Zoning Code to provide for the forwarding of certain variance applications to the City Council for final action. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code presently requires (in most cases) that affirmative action be taken to appeal or have a subsequent review of the Planning Commission's action on variance applications. There has been some confusion about how to process a variance application related to another application that must be heard by the City Council. In order to eliminate that confusion, this bill will provide for automatic Council review of variance applications that are related to zone changes, special use permit applications or site development reviews that are to be heard by the Council.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/15/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 10/3/2001; First Publication – 10/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-95

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5373 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:32 – 12:33)

3-886

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-96 – Updates the annexation provisions of the Zoning Code to conform to State law. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In its 2001 session, the Nevada Legislature adopted AB 179, which was the City's effort to facilitate the annexation of County islands. This bill will update the annexation provisions of the City's Zoning Code accordingly.

RECOMMENDATION:

FORWARDED to 11/7/2001 City Council meeting with no recommendation pursuant to the 10/15/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 10/3/2001; First Publication – 10/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-96

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5374 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:33 – 12:34)

3-923

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-97 – Amends the Zoning Code to allow the practice of hypnotherapy as a permitted use in all commercial and industrial districts. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

For zoning purposes, the Zoning Code presently treats the practice of hypnotherapy the same as astrologists, hypnotists and psychics--limiting the use to certain commercial and industrial zones and requiring a special use permit. Recognizing that hypnotherapy is distinct because of its medical applications, this bill will allow the practice of hypnotherapy as a permitted use in all commercial and industrial districts.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/15/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 10/3/2001; First Publication – 10/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-97

Submitted at the meeting: document by Juanita Clark of the Charleston Neighborhood Preservation

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 11/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS with REESE not voting

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested the matter be held until 11/21/2001 so that she could research some information that was brought to her attention.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Recommending Committee
Item 108 – Bill No. 2001-97

MINUTES – Continued:

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, indicated that she had planned on having a medical expert present to speak on the matter, but that it was not possible. She submitted a packet of information and noted that Lines 26 and 27 of the subject Bill are not clear. She played a segment of an audiotape of a message left by a researcher in Carson City indicating that hypnotherapy is not licensed by the State.

There was no further discussion.

(12:34 – 12:37)
3-945

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-98 – Amends the Zoning Code provisions relating to wheel stops in parking areas.
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code presently requires on-site parking areas to provide wheel stops or curbing to protect pedestrian areas from vehicle encroachment. This bill will eliminate the requirement in cases where the walkway around the perimeter of a building is at least seven feet wide.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/15/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 10/3/2001; First Publication – 10/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-98

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5375 – UNANIMOUS with REESE not voting

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:37)

3-1053

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-99 – Allows time-share condominiums in the C-2 Zoning District by means of special use permit. Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code currently does not address time-share condominiums. In order to facilitate redevelopment efforts that may include a time-share proposal, this bill will allow the use in the C-2 Zoning District by means of special use permit and will establish minimum conditions deemed necessary to ensure compatibility.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/15/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 10/3/2001; First Publication – 10/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-99

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5376 – UNANIMOUS with REESE not voting

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED proposed a first amendment changing the term “condominium” to “development” to avoid the implication that there is a map.

There was no further discussion.

(12:37 – 12:38)

3-1067

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-100 – Revises the Town Center Development Standards Manual in various respects. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will update the Town Center Development Standards Manual to include commercial and residential changes recommended in connection with two text amendment applications. Changes include 1) the addition of street classifications and design standards, 2) the standardization of landscaping and amenity zone requirements for each street classification, 3) updated signage requirements and approval procedures, 4) the addition of uses that have been deemed appropriate, and 5) updated open space and other spacing requirements.

RECOMMENDATION:

FORWARDED to 11/7/2001 City Council meeting with no recommendation pursuant to the 10/15/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 10/3/2001; First Publication – 10/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-100 and Incorporated Town Center Development Standards Manual

Submitted at the meeting: document from Attorney Lazovich outlining remaining issues

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5389 to delete the residential standards and correcting minor typographical errors – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining because of an ongoing relationship with the attorneys representing interested parties in this matter and MACK abstaining pending conclusion of the lawsuit filed by MR. SCALA, who could be impacted by the commercial aspects of the bill

Clerk to proceed with second publication

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Recommending Committee

Item 111 – Bill No. 2001-100

MINUTES:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED identified several typographical errors in the manual and requested the authority to make the necessary corrections via an amendment. COUNCILMAN MACK commented on the dialogue held to date on the matter before the Council. Various planning actions have been acted on by the Council, including one tentative map. Rather than hamstringing the process, he suggested that he simply work with the Homebuilders Association. In addition, JENNIFER LAZOVICH would like to explain various concerns regarding the commercial aspect of the standards.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR CHRIS KNIGHT summarized the project which started immediately after adoption of the Town Center Standards. It was realized that as Town Center grows, the standards must grow with it. The revisions being presented to the Council at this time include commercial and residential standards. Two years and numerous public hearings have gone into developing commercial standards. An Ad Hoc Committee assisted staff with particular help from ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO and his office. Eventually a consensus was reached as to standards which can be tolerated by everyone. Additional issues have been identified, including those relating to signage, which will need to be addressed in the long-term. It is anticipated that another set of revised standards will be brought before Council in the future.

A Council initiative expanded Town Center to the west to include approximately 400 acres of residential land. In doing so, staff began to work on standards consistent with the Town Center master planning efforts. Consideration regarding the pedestrian element throughout the residential community and to commercial areas. That lead to connectivity of subdivisions up to the commercial areas. Therefore, gated communities would be contrary to that goal. Staff worked with developers who had already acquired land within the vicinity to reach a compromise to meet the City's new goals. For example, one compromise was that a reduced street width of 37 feet would be acceptable where an amenity zone was created. Such amenity zones would also count toward the open space requirement.

The Southern Nevada Homebuilders Association continues to have concerns with internal streets, but staff will continue to work with them to address the issues. MR. KNIGHT referenced a map showing several developments which are pending City Council hearing. Without the Town Center standards, those developments will have to go back to the drawing board. A major concern is the strict guidelines for residential development within Town Center that might spread outside Town Center. He assured the Council that concern was unjustified.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Recommending Committee

Item 111 – Bill No. 2001-100

MINUTES – Continued:

These standards apply only to 3% of the Centennial Hills region. The existing development standards apply elsewhere throughout the City. Additional work will need to be done on perimeter landscaping standards in order to meet and be able to utilize AB 63 to establish landscape maintenance districts. Existing perimeter landscaping standards are currently lacking, but any revised standards will not apply to interior landscaping or outside of Town Center.

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC made a record of a discussion with ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development, that these development standards would increase allowed uses on GC zoned property, which would benefit MR. SCALA. As a result, he recommended COUNCILMAN MACK abstain on the agenda item. COUNCILMAN MACK announced that he would do so.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Territory, Inc., developer of Centennial Center. Centennial Center is the first commercial project in Town Center. She submitted a letter that detailed all of the concerns rising out of the standards before the Council at this time. ATTORNEY LAZOVICH indicated that she looked forward to working with the City to resolve these concerns in the future.

MARK DOPPE, President of Southern Nevada Homebuilders Association, supported the creation of the Town Center via standardized perimeter landscaping, block wall, signage and streetlighting. While this makes development expensive, it represents a compromise between past unattractive developments and housing affordability. However, the organization is very concerned with interior development standards. The standards represent \$4,800 per house in a development with five homes per acre. That is an acceptable trade-off. But the interior standards would add another \$5,000 to \$6,000 per house and other standards such as garage setback/alignments would also reduce density, further increasing the fiscal impact per parcel. It is unfair and not in the City's best interests to put the burden of creating this special area on the backs of people trying to buy a home.

MR. DOPPE raised a second major issue with this action being inconsistent with past State and local governments. Government entities must establish standards to ensure safe, quality construction. These standards far exceed that and intrude into aesthetic issues such as house size, paint colors and the type of trees to be planted. It further singles out a specific area of town, and the City acts as the developer even though the standards increase cost and eliminate a percentage of buyers. His organization is seeking one of two options: First, abey the bill in order to allow existing developers to move forward while the rest negotiate areas of concern. Second, if that option fails, his organization is prepared to delineate those issues, which are unacceptable so that they can be carved out of the bill.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Recommending Committee

Item 111 – Bill No. 2001-100

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD pointed out that some of the same concerns were raised during the Recommending Committee meeting and coincide with some of her concerns. There is still work that needs to be done to get the bill where it needs to be. It does appear that the government is being intrusive and adversely affecting affordability within the area. That is contrary to the goals of this City. She would also recommend holding the item in abeyance inasmuch as she could not support the bill in its current form.

COUNCILMAN BROWN agreed that since the bill could be held for one meeting without it dying, he would like to see the amendments being offered. However, for the record, Town Center is supposed to be something different. He has no concerns with staff pushing the envelope to reach the goal of creating a 400 acre neighborhood rather than small individual neighborhoods and gated communities over 400 acres.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY created a record that the Recommending Committee always encourages speakers at the Recommending Committee meetings to work with staff to resolve any areas of concern. In this instance it does appear that there is language that can be modified. Therefore, after confirming with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that the bill could be abeyed to the 11/21/2001 Council meeting, he moved to do so in order to allow time to work with MR. DOPPE and COUNCILMAN BROWN. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY further directed that the resulting information be provided to all members of Council.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development, requested that the bill be adopted at this time as to only the commercial standards and that the residential standards be addressed later.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD advised that the attorneys representing one side of this issue represent him personally and questioned whether disclosure or abstention would be appropriate. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC recommended abstention due to the ongoing relationship. COUNCILMAN MACK noted that his abstention is required due to the impact of commercial standards to MR. SCALA and confirmed with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that such abstention would not be necessary on the residential standards once the commercial and residential standards were bifurcated. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC explained that the bill will have to be dissected. The motion would be to approve the bill as a first amendment as to the commercial standards, stripping out the residential standards and bringing the residential standards back in the future as a separate bill. That would take more than two weeks. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development, concurred that staff will need more than two weeks to bring back the residential standards.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Recommending Committee
Item 111 – Bill No. 2001-100

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN requested additional briefings on both staff's position and that of MR. DOPPE'S organization.

There was no further discussion.

(12:38 – 1:04)
3-1107

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-101 – Makes unenforceable any covenant, condition, restriction or other regulation that prohibits or restricts the display of the American flag. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will make unenforceable any covenant, condition, restriction or other regulation that prohibits or restricts the display of the American flag.

FIRST AMENDMENT: At its meeting of October 15, 2001, the Recommending Committee voted to recommend a modification to this bill to exempt from its application certain reasonable restrictions concerning the manner, size and number of flags displayed. The First Amendment reflects this recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/7/2001 City Council meeting as First Amendment pursuant to the 10/15/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 10/3/2001; First Publication – 10/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-101 - First Amendment

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5377 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:04)

3-2101

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-83 – Establishes rules, regulations and business licensing requirements for farmers' markets. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill proposes licensing standards and regulatory provisions for farmers' markets as well as for promoters of such activities. In addition to farm product vendors, this bill permits non-farm product vendors in limited numbers and locations at a farmers' market, upon their first obtaining and thereafter maintaining a valid permit. The annual license fee for a farmers' market promoter will be two hundred dollars for a primary location and fifty dollars annually for each additional promoted farmers' market. Non-farm product vendors will be required to pay a ten dollar permit fee each month.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill was held in abeyance to the 11/19/2001 Recommending Committee meeting by the 10/1/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/19/2001 Recommending Committee

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-102 – Annexation No. A-0006-99(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Alexander Road and Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 4.96 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Alexander Road and Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the City, as lessee, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with NRS and the final date of annexation (November 30, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council men Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 10/17/2001; First Publication – 11/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-103 – Annexation No. A-0004-01(A) – Property Location: On the southwest corner of Rainbow Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive; Petitioned By: New Vista Ranch, Inc.; Acreage: 17.75 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Rainbow Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with NRS and the final date of annexation (November 30, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: The property is developed with a group care facility.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council men Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 10/17/2001; First Publication – 11/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-104 – Annexation No. A-0031-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Farm Road; Petitioned By: Silver Saddle Investors Limited Liability Company; Acreage: 15.23 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (R) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Farm Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with NRS and the final date of annexation (November 30, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council men Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 10/17/2001; First Publication – 11/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-105 – Annexation No. A-0036-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Ackerman Avenue and Versimount Road; Petitioned By: R. B. Petersen Construction Co.; Acreage: 7.76 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Ackerman Avenue and Versimount Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with NRS and the final date of annexation (November 30, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council men Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 10/17/2001; First Publication – 11/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-106 – Annexation No. A-0038-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Log Cabin Way and Dapple Gray Road; Petitioned By: Joan R. Sommers Living Trust; Acreage: 5.12 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Log Cabin Way and Dapple Gray Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with NRS and the final date of annexation (November 30, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council men Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 10/17/2001; First Publication – 11/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-107 – Establishes a procedure regarding the creation of certain maintenance districts. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill responds to the requirements of AB 63 from the 2001 Legislative Session, establishing a procedure regarding the creation of certain maintenance districts. The maintenance districts are primarily a mechanism by which developers and property owners can request the City to maintain landscaping that otherwise would be maintained by an owners' association. This bill sets forth the procedure by which petitions will be handled and the criteria for establishing such districts.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council men Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 10/17/2001; First Publication – 11/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-108 – Conforms the City's gaming enterprise district provisions to the requirements of State law. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In 1997, the Nevada Legislature adopted legislation to change the requirements for establishing gaming enterprise districts. The Municipal Code provisions on this subject have not been updated to conform to those requirements, and this bill will accomplish that update.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council men Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 10/17/2001; First Publication – 11/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-109 – Eliminates work card requirements for several business license categories and related occupations. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Last month, the City Council adopted a number of recommendations for revisions to the City's work card requirements. This bill incorporates those recommendations as well as other minor changes consistent with those recommendations.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/21/2001 City Council meeting as First Amendment pursuant to the 10/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council men Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 10/17/2001; First Publication – 11/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-110 – Annexation No. A-0024-00(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Tenaya Way and Bilpar Road; Petitioned By: Mabuhay Commercial Investment, LLC; Acreage: 1.64 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (R) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Tenaya Way and Bilpar Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with NRS and the final date of annexation (December 14, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-110 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

11/19/2001 Recommending Committee

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

(1:04 – 1:07)

3-2138

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-111 – Annexation No. A-0030-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Madre Mesa Drive approximately 700 feet west of Decatur Boulevard; Petitioned By: Clair E. Cohick & Beverly J. Cohick Revocable Living Trust; Acreage: 2.77 acres; Zoned: County Zoning – R-E, City Equivalents – R-E, U (SC) and U (M); Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Madre Mesa Drive approximately 700 feet west of Decatur Boulevard. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with NRS and the final date of annexation (November 30, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-111 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

11/19/2001 Recommending Committee

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

(1:04 – 1:07)

3-2138

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-112 – Annexation No. A-0041-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Severance Lane; Petitioned By: Kevin M. Parkinson Separate Property Trust, et al; Acreage: 15.21 acres; Zoned: County Zoning – R-E; City Equivalents – U (R) and U (L); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Severance Lane. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with NRS and the final date of annexation (December 14, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-112 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

11/19/2001 Recommending Committee

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

(1:04 – 1:07)

3-2138

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-113 – Annexation No. A-0047-01(A) – Property Location: On the east side of Durango Drive approximately 340 feet south of Racel Street; Petitioned By: H-Factor; Acreage: 2.64 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the east side of Durango Drive approximately 340 feet south of Racel Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with NRS and the final date of annexation (November 30, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: A day care center has been approved for this property.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-113 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

11/19/2001 Recommending Committee

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

(1:04 – 1:07)

3-2138

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-114 – Expands the membership of the Arts Commission. Proposed by: Dr. Barbara Jackson, Director of Leisure Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Arts Commission presently consists of ten members, a membership that was intended to be representative of a five-member City Council. With the addition of the two newest City Council seats, it has been deemed appropriate to add two corresponding seats to the Arts Commission. This bill will accomplish that change.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-114

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

11/19/2001 Recommending Committee

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

(1:04 – 1:07)

3-2138

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-115 – Requires certain disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill would require a number of disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. Among the items that would have to be disclosed to the buyer are the zoning and land use classifications applicable to the property and surrounding areas, any existing or proposed gaming enterprise districts in the area, existing or proposed assessments, structural defects, and any soil reports regarding the property. Disclosures would have to be documented in writing or by videotape.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-115

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

11/19/2001 Recommending Committee

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

(1:04 – 1:07)

3-2138

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-116 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1470 – Craig Road (Buffalo Drive to US-95) (\$343,272.05 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) – Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$343,272.05

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential and commercial driveways, water main, and water and sewer laterals along Craig Road (Buffalo Drive to US-95).

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-116

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

11/19/2001 Recommending Committee

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

(1:04 – 1:07)

3-2138

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-117 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1471 – Jones Boulevard (Rancho Drive to Centennial Parkway) (\$633,533.72 – Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments) – Ward 6 (Mack) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$633,533.72

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, and sewer laterals. Beginning at the northeast right-of-way of Rancho Drive at Jones Boulevard and proceeding north to the southerly right-of-way line of Centennial Parkway.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-117

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

11/19/2001 Recommending Committee

11/21/2001 Council Agenda

(1:04 – 1:07)

3-2138

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 1:07 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 139 [Z-0016-98(4)] to 12/19/2001 and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 1/2/2002 and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 149 [V-0053-01] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion

(2:33 – 2:35)

5-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at 9999 Simmons Street. PROPERTY OWNER: RANCHO CLASSIC L P - C/O E HORN - C/O HORN CO - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$19,492.50**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: General Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by removing all tumbleweeds, trash, debris, discarded furniture, piles of concrete, asphalt and litter from the property. All vegetation along perimeter of lot along the block walls was removed and "no dumping" sign(s) were posted onto the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$19,492.50 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown, but not submitted

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 131 – 9999 Simmons Street

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. All trash and debris was removed, including discarded furniture and a pile of concrete and asphalt. Vegetation along the perimeter wall was also removed and no dumping signs were posted. The property was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder K.O. Construction to abate the problem. No trespassing signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$19,492.50 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that usually these properties return to the same state they were in before they were abated. That is the reason why he supported Item 87 that would set the policy to address abandoned and blighted properties. People have to be held liable for blighted vacant lots. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE asked whether the City could force the property owners to fence properties. The subject property is wide open, and people dump their trash into it during the night. MR. SEMENZA explained that fencing is an option in the proposed ordinance. A second cleaning by the City on property like this allows the City to berm or to fence it.

COUNCILMAN BROWN pointed out that the backup information indicates that the applicant was sent a certified letter on 7/24/01 which was returned unclaimed on 8/13/01. He questioned whether that letter would be forwarded if there were a change of address. MR. SEMENZA replied that the applicant did receive a certified letter but never contacted Neighborhood Services.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:01 – 4:06)

5-3159

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Public hearing on local improvement district regarding Special Improvement District No. 1482 - Gowan Road (Metro Park) from Hualapai Way to Jenson Street (\$65,331.88 - Levy Assessments) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$65,331.88

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, commercial driveway approach, sewer laterals, and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Hearing only; no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

Public Hearing held – no motion required

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MIKE THOMPSON, Public Works Department, stated that this road improvement project was completed to provide a connection between Jenson Street and Hualapai. The majority of the funding came by way of the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC). However, there was one vacant parcel that was held by a private owner adjacent to the recently completed park, and a Special Improvement District was created to install the off-site improvements for that owner. The total project cost is approximately \$365,000, and the assessment amount is \$65,331.88. The item is in order.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001

Public Works Department

Item 132 – Special Improvement District No. 1482 – Gowan Road (Metro Park) from Hualapai Way to Jenson Street

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:06 – 4:07)

5-3425

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT

133 U-0081-00(1) - Craig J. Norton

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

134 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0167-94(1) - Stratosphere Gaming Corporation

EXTENSION OF TIME - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

135 Z-0068-85(58) - Las Vegas Technology Center Limited Partnership on behalf of Westwood Studios

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

136 SD-0059-01 - Cassu Investment Group Limited, et al on behalf of McDonald's Corporation

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE PARKWAY CENTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING

137 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0100-97(5) - Union Pacific Railroad Company on behalf of Chelsea Property Group, Inc.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0100-97(5) - PUBLIC HEARING

138 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0100-97(6) - Union Pacific Railroad Company on behalf of Chelsea Property Group, Inc.

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING

139 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0016-98(4) - William Lyon Homes

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING

140 VAC-0023-01 - Southwest Desert Equities, Limited Liability Company

141 VAC-0024-01 - Southwest Desert Equities, Limited Liability Company

142 VAC-0026-01 - Concordia Homes of Nevada

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of November 7, 2001

- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING
- 143 **VAC-0027-01** - Deer Springs/Concough, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Big Sky Development
 - 144 **VAC-0028-01** - Norris Sandra 1990 Living Trust on behalf of Beazer Homes Holding Corporation
 - 145 **VAC-0029-01** - Carl L. Watson & Wanda R. Foster
 - 146 **VAC-0030-01** - Shearing Family Trust, et al on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.

- FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 147 **V-0111-96(1)** - Palm Mortuary, Inc.
- FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW RELATED TO V-0111-96(1) - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 148 **U-0099-96(1)** - Palm Mortuary, Inc.

- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 149 **ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0053-01** - WPI-Owens, Limited Liability Company on behalf of World Premier Investments

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0053-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 150 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0007-61(2)** - WPI-Owens, Limited Liability Company on behalf of World Premier Investments

- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 151 **ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0061-01** - The Salvation Army

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0061-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 152 **ABEYANCE ITEM - SD-0051-01** - The Salvation Army

- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 153 **V-0065-01** - Smoke Fountain, Limited

- FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 154 **U-0075-96(1)** - Mini-Masters, Inc. on behalf of Clear Channel Outdoor
 - 155 **U-0080-96(1)** - William S. Boyd Trust II, et al on behalf of California Hotel and Casino

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of November 7, 2001

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 156 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0031-00** - American Store Properties, Inc.
- 157 **U-0086-01** - Lamb Boulevard Self-Storage, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Cingular Wireless
- 158 **U-0122-01** - Arthur and Kathy Cole
- 159 **U-0116-01** - Ronald and Judith Vitto, et al

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0116-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 160 **Z-0137-94(4)** - Ronald and Judith Vitto, et al

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 161 **Z-0061-01** - Muri and Angeline Melwani Trust on behalf of RL Homes

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z-0061-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 162 **U-0123-01** - Muri and Angeline Melwani Trust on behalf of RL Homes

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 163 **Z-0062-01** - Coleman-Toll, Limited Partnership on behalf of Pulte Homes

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0062-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 164 **Z-0062-01(1)** - Coleman-Toll, Limited Partnership on behalf of Pulte Homes

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 165 **Z-0063-01** - Concordia Homes of Nevada
- 166 **Z-0064-01** - City of Las Vegas

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 167 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0033-99** - Nader-Noorozi

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - **U-0081-00(1) - CRAIG J. NORTON** - Request for an Extension of Time for on an Approved Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED PSYCHIC ARTS BUSINESS AND CONVERSION OF A 1,506 SQUARE FOOT RESIDENCE TO AN OFFICE on 0.14 Acres at 2210 East Bonanza Road (APN: 139-35-511-040), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

ESTHER NORTON, 94 Moss Creek Circle, stated that she requested the extension of time because she is waiting for bids on the project. She is aware that the extension of time is only for one year, and construction should commence within two weeks.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(4:07 – 4:09)

5-3496

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 133 – U-0081-00(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of this approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time is granted.
2. Compliance with all Conditions of Approval of the Special Use Permit (U-0081-00), Site Development Plan Review [Z-0053-00(1)] and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0167-94(1) - STRATOSPHERE GAMING CORPORATION** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of The Downtown Centennial Plan Parking Lot Standards FOR A PROPOSED AMUSEMENT/THRILL RIDE located at 2000 and 2035 Las Vegas Boulevard South (APN's: 162-03-401-001, 162-03-301-016, and 162-03-410-001 through 004), C-2 (General Commercial) and R-4 (High Density Residential) Zones under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (3-2-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****313****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****243****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-2-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Request to Withdraw Without Prejudice submitted by Attorney John Moran, Jr.

MOTION:

REESE – WITHDRAWN WITHOUT PREJUDICE – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because his brother owns a Super Pawn at the corner of St. Louis Avenue and Las Vegas Boulevard, and this project might have a financial impact on the store

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing opening.

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0167-94(1)

MINUTES:

APPEARANCES:

JOHN MORAN, JR., Attorney, Moran & Associates, 630 South Fourth Street, Suite 400
SCOTT HEIZMAN, 371 Golden Shore Drive
BOB BELLIS, President, John S. Park Neighborhood Association
DOROTHY BARNES, 2575 Sherwood Street, Apt. 26-A
YVONNE DuPLAIN, General Manager, Holiday Motel and Holiday House Motel
THERESA MORROW, 920 Bonita Avenue
ARLENE KING, 1704 South 8th Street
JOHN DELIKANAKIS, 548 Barber Way
RUTH BORG GRIFFIS, 2016 Van Patten Place
BEN CONDYNE, 1047 East Oakey Boulevard
PHYLLIS SILVESTRI, 610 Canosa Avenue
BENITA LAURY, President, Rexford Inc.
BETTY MATHEWS, 701 Griffith Avenue
MIKE PAWLAK, 1320 South 7th Street
JACK LEVINE, President, Southridge Neighborhood Association, 1818 South 8th Place
JOHN TERRY, 9th Street and Bracken Avenue
DAVID FIGLER, 1302 South 6th Street
TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:35 – 3:58)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - **Z-0068-85(58)** - **LAS VEGAS TECHNOLOGY CENTER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF WESTWOOD STUDIOS** - Request for an Extension of Time on an approved Site Development Plan Review FOR TWO (2) 24 FOOT BY 60 FOOT MODULAR OFFICE TRAILERS on 2.15 acres at 2400 North Tenaya Way (APN: 138-15-810-009), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

BOB LEMON, Westwood Studios, 2400 North Tenaya Way, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was discussion.

(4:09 – 4:10)

5-3604

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0068-85(58)

CONDITIONS:

1. The temporary modular trailers must be removed within one year of this approval, unless an Extension of Time has been granted by the Planning Commission.
2. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for the Site Development Plan Review [Z-0068-85(52)] and all other subsequent site related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - SD-0059-01 - CASSU INVESTMENT GROUP LIMITED, ET AL ON BEHALF OF McDONALD'S CORPORATION - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 3,960 SQUARE FOOT FAST FOOD RESTAURANT (McDONALD'S) located adjacent to the southwest corner of the intersection of Sahara Avenue and Arville Street (APN's: 162-07-101-011 through 013), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions, deleting Condition #3 and adding the following condition:

- No additional free-standing signage shall be allowed on the site.
- UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and presented a photograph of a closed gas station to be expanded onto the existing McDonald's property. Three of the curb cuts located on Sahara Avenue will be taken out and one off of Arville Street. MR. MORENO stated that he has worked with staff and redesigned the project to meet staff's requirements. He pointed out that the landscaping has been increased to 50%.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – SD-0059-01

MINUTES – Continued:

This project will help to revitalize that entire corner, as well as the surrounding neighborhood. The company is investing approximately \$2.3 million for this expansion. MR. MORENO asked to keep the existing McDonald's sign, which has been at this location since the restaurant first opened in 1975. However, he pointed out that the existing billboard sign does not belong to McDonald's and that it is privately owned.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked MR. MORENO whether McDonald's is proposing to do a similar project in other areas. MR. MORENO replied that he does not have the specifics at this time, but that there might be three or four restaurants where possibly something similar might be done. However, DENNIS WATTS, 21 Las Vegas Boulevard South, McDonald's Corporation, indicated that the McDonald's restaurant located at Washington Avenue and Rancho Boulevard was built a few years ago and there are no plans to expand it at this time.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD motioned that the existing McDonald's sign remain and confirmed with MR. MORENO that the sign will not be relocated. In light of COUNCILMAN McDONALD's motion, CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning & Development Department, recommended that a condition be added that no additional free-standing signage be allowed on the site. The 100-foot pole sign is already out of character with any other signage in the area. MR. WATTS commented that their intent is not to add a new pole sign and that any other required signage pertaining to the retail portion of the site would be added to the bottom of the existing sign. COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested to see a drawing of the sign for any future signage that might be added to the pole.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(4:10 – 4:17)
5-3677/6-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The applicant shall remove (dissolve) the existing property lines in a manner that is acceptable with City Staff, prior to the issuance of any building permits for Building 'A.'

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – SD-0059-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. The existing 100-foot tall “McDonalds” pole sign shall be removed, prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits.
4. An administrative Site Development Plan Review shall be approved by Planning and Development staff for the proposed future retail building along the west property line prior to any permits being issued for that building.
5. The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters along all public rights-of-way.
6. The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters along all interior property lines.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
10. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building.
11. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – SD-0059-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

13. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

14. Dedicate an additional 5 feet of right-of-way for a total radius of 25 feet on the southwest corner of Sahara Avenue and Arville Street prior to the issuance of any permits.
15. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout and the possible relocation or restricted access for the proposed driveway onto Arville Street south of Sahara Avenue prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. The proposed driveway accessing Sahara Avenue shall also receive approval from the Nevada Department of Transportation.
17. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access and Parking Agreement for the three parcels comprising this overall site, prior to the issuance of any permits.
18. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine appropriate public sewer connection point prior to the submittal of sewer construction drawings. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
19. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – SD-0059-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

- a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
20. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE PARKWAY CENTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0100-97(5)** - **UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CHELSEA PROPERTY GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Major Modification to amend or delete certain provisions of the Master Development Plan for Lot 1 of Parkway Center, generally located on the southwest corner of Bonneville Avenue and Grand Central Parkway (APN: 139-33-710-001), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Attorney Mark Fiorentino submitted a list of changes to conditions for Items 137 [Z-0100-97(5)] and Item 138 [Z-0100-97(6)].
5. Submitted at meeting: A design depicting how the parking stall and landscaping would be completed

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions, adding the following condition:

- Article 3.1.9 shall be amended to delete the words “planting area” in the first and second sentences, and to include a new sentence that reads “Curbs that effectively protect landscape areas may be used in lieu of bumper or tire stops.” (Per exhibit submitted at City Council hearing).
- Article 3.2.4 shall be amended to delete the term “stucco” from the list of prohibited materials.

And amending the following conditions:

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0100-97(5)

MOTION – Continued:

1. This approval replaces the requirement for a Design Review Committee review of the Phase 1 site plan, elevations and landscaping plan. *All street amenities and the lighting plan for Phase 1 shall be reviewed by the Design Review Committee prior to the issuance of building permits. All site plans, elevations, landscaping plans, signage, street amenities and the lighting plan for Phase 2 and 3 shall be reviewed by the Design review Committee prior to the submittal of (a) Site Development Plan Review application(s) for Phases 2 and/or 3. A Master Sign Plan for Phase 1 shall be submitted for approval of the Planning Commission prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for Phase 1.*
2. The Preamble, and Articles 3.1.4, 3.1.6, 3.2.3.1, 3.2.3.5, 3.2.3.7, 3.3.3, 3.3.8, 3.3.12, 3.3.17, 3.4.5.2, 3.4.5.3, 3.4.5.8, 3.4.5.9, 3.5.3, 3.5.5.3, 3.6.6.1, and 3.6.6.4 shall remain as written. *If the related Site Development Plan Review application [Z-0100-97(6)] is approved, Articles 3.2.3.2, 3.2.3.4 shall be amended as requested subject to Planning and Development Department approval of Phase 1 and subject to a Design Review Committee review of Phases 2 and 3. Article 3.5.2 shall be amended as requested except that pole signs shall not be allowed.*
9. Article 3.2.3.2 shall be amended to include panelized E.I.F.S., *manufactured stone veneer, fabric shade structures and exterior ‘planton’ within the list of approved materials.*
12. Article 3.3.13 shall be amended as follows: All mechanical equipment, including, but not limited to, roof-mounted equipment, must be screened from view on all sides using materials and colors compatible with the building architecture *per elevations dated October 31, 2001.* All mechanical equipment including, but not limited to, roof mounted equipment on buildings less than five stories in height must also be screened *or blended with the building architecture* from the top using materials and colors compatible with the building architecture. The applicant may apply for a review of this requirement by the Planning Commission who may modify the requirement upon *approval of project specific elevations.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0100-97(5)

MINUTES – Continued:

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, 7th Floor, appeared on behalf of Chelsea Property Group, together with MARK SILVESTRI. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO thanked the City Council for granting him the opportunity to resolve design issues regarding the proposed project and thanked Planning staff for their help as well. He presented an elevation of the project that highlighted changes made to the project design after it went before the Planning Commission. The changes address concerns raised by staff, the Mayor and Council. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO distributed a list of changes to the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission that would facilitate the changes made to the plans. He also submitted a design depicting how the parking stall and landscape would be completed.

COUNCILMAN MACK commended the Chelsea Group for bringing forward this project, especially after the slow down in the economy due to the September 11, 2001 tragedy.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked the Chelsea Group for addressing his concerns. He is very excited about this project, but expressed disappointment for not having been given the opportunity to see the final product. He would like to see projects proposed for Ward 5 before they come before the City Council. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO apologized for the oversight.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 137 [Z-0100-97(5)] and Item 138 [Z-0100-97(6)] took place under Item 137 [Z-0100-97(5)].

(4:17 – 4:23)

6-219

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0100-97(5)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This approval replaces the requirement for a Design Review Committee review of the Phase 1 site plan, elevations and landscaping plan. All signage, street amenities and the lighting plan for Phase I shall be reviewed by the Design Review Committee prior to the issuance of building permits. All site plans, elevations, landscaping plans, signage, street amenities and the lighting plan for Phases 2 and 3 shall be reviewed by the Design Review Committee prior to the submittal of (a) Site Development Plan Review application(s) for Phases 2 and/or 3.
2. The Preamble, and Articles 3.1.4, 3.1.6, 3.1.9, 3.2.3.1, 3.2.3.4 3.2.3.5, 3.2.3.7, 3.2.4, 3.3.3, 3.3.8, 3.3.12, 3.3.17, 3.4.5.1, 3.4.5.2, 3.4.5.3, 3.4.5.8, 3.4.5.9, 3.5.3, 3.5.5.3, 3.6.6.1, and 3.6.6.4 shall remain as written.
3. Articles 3.1.3, 3.11.3, 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.3.7, 3.3.9, 3.3.10, 3.4.1.2, 3.4.1.3, 3.4.1.4, 3.4.1.5, 3.4.1.6, 3.4.1.7, 3.4.2, 3.5.4.1, 3.5.4.2, 3.5.4.3, 3.5.5.4, 3.6.1.3, 3.6.3.2, 3.6.3.4, and 3.6.4.2, are hereby deleted if the related Site Development Plan Review application [Z-0100-97(6)] is approved.
4. If the related Site Development Plan Review application [Z-0100-97(6)] is approved, the applicant shall include within the Parkway Center Standards Article 1.4 a site plan which reflects all final conditions of approval
5. The applicant shall include within the proposed revisions to Article 1 General Provision 1.4.1 and 1.5.1 methods to encourage pedestrian traffic between the subject site and surrounding parcels.
6. Article 2.1 shall be amended as follows: to allow hotels on any portion of the site and to allow new billboards subject to a review by the Planning Commission and the Design Review Committee. Existing “interim” billboards remain subject to review and possible removal upon development of a parcel.
7. Article 2.2 shall be amended to include new/used Auto Sales as a prohibited use.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0100-97(5)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Article 2.3 shall be amended as follows: No temporary buildings or other temporary structures shall be permitted on any building site-, provided, however, attractive and well landscaped trailers, temporary buildings and the like shall be permitted for construction and leasing purposes. Such structures shall be placed as inconspicuously as practicable, shall cause no inconvenience to owners or occupants or other building sites, and shall be removed not later than sixty (60) days after the date of substantial completion for the beneficial occupancy of the building(s) in connection with which the temporary structure was used.
9. Article 3.2.3.2 shall be amended to include panelized E.I.F.S. and fabric shade structures within the list of approved materials. Stone Aggregate Panels shall remain a prohibited material.
10. Article 3.2.3.6. shall be amended as follows if the related Site Development Plan Review application [Z-0100-97(6)] is approved:

Stone materials shall be used at a minimum as follows:

Single story building - Refer to proposed building elevations for Phase 1.

Two (2) through five (5) story buildings: Stone cladding or stone and glass system shall be used to a height of not less than sixteen feet (16') above finish floor or two feet (2') below the second story windowsill.

Buildings taller than five (5) stories: Stone cladding or stone and glass system shall be used to a height of not less than two feet (2') below the third windowsill.

This standard is not to preclude the use of more stone than the minimum.

11. Article 3.2.3.9. shall be amended as follows if the related Site Development Plan Review application [Z-0100-97(6)] is approved: Changes in an articulated roofline, cornice elevation and/or massing is strongly encouraged.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0100-97(5)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

12. Article 3.3.13 shall be amended as follows: All mechanical equipment, including, but not limited to, roof-mounted equipment, must be screened from view on all sides using materials and colors compatible with the building architecture. All mechanical equipment including, but not limited to, roof mounted equipment on buildings less than five stories in height must also be screened from the top using materials and colors compatible with the building architecture. The applicant may apply for a review of this requirement by the Planning Commission who may modify the requirement upon a finding that compliance constitutes an unreasonable hardship.
13. Article 3.3.16 shall be amended as follows: All utility lines shall be placed underground. No transformer, electric, gas, water, or other meter of any type or other apparatus shall be located on any power pole. Apparatus may be hung on the outside of any building if it is adequately screened from view. Meters may be placed on or below the soil surface, and where so placed, shall be adequately screened from view.
14. The applicants proposed Article 3.5.1.a. Phase 1 Sign Program shall be adopted subject to the following amendments: a staff review of appropriate heights for project improvement signs (subsection A) and a time limit for temporary project announcement signs (subsection K).
15. Article 3.5.3.1 shall be amended to delete billboards from the list of prohibited uses.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0100-97(5)
 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0100-97(6) - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CHELSEA PROPERTY GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 478,028 SQUARE FOOT RETAIL MALL on 39.20 acres on the southwest corner of Bonneville Avenue and Grand Central Parkway (APN: 139-33-710-001), PD (Planned Development) Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Attorney Mark Fiorentino submitted a list of changes to conditions for Items 137 [Z-0100-97(5)] and Item 138 [Z-0100-97(6)].
5. Submitted at meeting: A design depicting how the parking stall and landscaping would be completed.

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions, adding the following condition:

- *Existing off-premise advertising structures (billboards) shall be removed or enhanced within six (6) months of the final Certificate of Occupancy for the retail project, and if the use is to continue shall be replaced or enhanced by structures aesthetically compatible with the development, subject to the approval of Planning and Development Department staff.*

And amending the following conditions:

4. All development shall be in conformance with the revised site plan and building elevations submitted *October 31 2001*, except as amended by conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 138 – Z-0100-97(6)

MOTION – Continued

6. *All development shall conform to the landscape plan submitted September 11, 2001. The landscape planter along Interstate 15 shall include 36-inch box trees planted a maximum of 25 feet on-center.*
 8. *The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to reflect compliance with all of the adopted Landscape Architecture Design Criteria of the Parkway Center Lot 1 Master Plan as modified by the approved Major Modification Z-0100-97(5). Landscape areas within the parking lots shall be in conformance with the revised plans dated October 31, 2001.*
 9. *The applicant shall install a major trail as depicted on the revised plans dated October 31, 2001.*
 13. *All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash enclosures shall be screened in views from the abutting streets in a manner approved by the Planning and Development staff per plans submitted October 31, 2001.*
 14. *Parking lot lighting shall be in conformance with the plans dated September 11, 2001. Lighting standards shall be no more than 34 feet in height.*
- UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, 7th Floor, appeared on behalf of Chelsea Property Group, together with MARK SILVESTRI.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 137 [Z-0100-97(5)] and Item 138 [Z-0100-97(6)] took place under Item 137 [Z-0100-97(5)].

(4:17 – 4:23)

6-219

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 138 – Z-0100-97(6)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Final elevation drawings shall be provided to staff by September 12, 2001 so that staff has adequate time to report on the elevations at the September 19, 2001 City Council meeting. If the final elevation drawings are not provided by that date, then the drawings must be reviewed by the Planning Commission prior to consideration by the City Council.
2. The City Council shall approve the Major Modification [Z-0100-97(5)] to the PD (Planned Development) Zoning District.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the revised site plan and building elevations submitted to the City of Las Vegas on July 24, 2001, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. The site plan shall be revised to include seating areas and appropriate landscaping, including at a minimum the three areas adjacent to the northeast, east, and southeast pedestrian entrances into the mall.
6. The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to reflect minimum 36-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters along the length of the Grand Central Parkway frontage (east) and Interstate 15 frontage (west) where landscaping is not currently in place. The landscape plan revisions shall be subject to the approval of the Planning and Development Department prior to the issuance of any building permits for the site.
7. The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to reflect landscaped earth and rock berms of a minimum three (3) feet in height within provided planters along all public street parking lot frontages. The landscape plan revisions shall be subject to the approval of the Planning and Development Department prior to the issuance of any building permits for the site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 138 – Z-0100-97(6)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

8. The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to reflect compliance with all of the adopted Landscape Architecture Design Criteria of the Parkway Center Lot 1 Master Plan as modified by the approved Major Modification Z-0100-97(5).
9. The applicant shall participate in the development of a major trail/ landscaped buffer feature along I-15 and US-95/I-515, and shall develop such trail within the development site. Such trail shall include a 15 foot-wide asphalt walking/jogging/biking trail, exercise equipment, sitting areas, and heavy landscaping. Such trail shall have eventual connectivity into other downtown trails such as a trailhead near City Hall to the Bonanza Trail and the possible Third Street pedestrian corridor, linking to the regional trail plan.
10. The elevations of the building shall depict service court design incorporating an effective solid screen integrated into the facade structural design, along the perimeter of the service court areas except for maximum 40-foot-wide openings. Screen walls shall provide complete visual screening of any freight trucks providing regular service to the mall tenants.
11. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
12. If not covered by the Parkway Center Lot 1 Development Standards, the Site Plan, Landscape, and Architectural design shall conform to the Downtown Centennial Plan.
13. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
14. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 30 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. All exterior lighting shall be screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
15. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of Planning Commission prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
16. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 138 – Z-0100-97(6)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

17. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
18. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

19. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
20. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. Coordinate with the Traffic Engineering Division and Nevada Department of Transportation for information regarding the future I-15 access ramps planned for Bonneville Avenue adjacent to this site. Site development shall be designed to accommodate the future acquisition of any such right-of-way.
21. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 138 – Z-0100-97(6)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

- Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
22. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or recordation of a Final Map whichever may occur first, if allowed by the Planning Engineer.
23. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-100-97 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - MAJOR MODIFICATION - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0016-98(4) - WILLIAM LYON HOMES - Request for a Major Modification to the Iron Mountain Ranch Residential Planned Development Master Plan TO REMOVE APPROXIMATELY 40 ACRES FROM THE OVERALL PLAN AREA at the northeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-12-401-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre), [PROPOSED: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****12****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 139 [Z-0016-98(4)] to 12/19/2001 and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 1/2/2002 and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 149 [V-0053-01] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion

(2:33 – 2:35)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0023-01 - SOUTHWEST DESERT****EQUITIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Petition to vacate a public sewer easement generally located adjacent to the west side of the Las Vegas Beltway, six hundred ninety feet north of Alexander Road, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**BROWN – APPROVED** subject to conditions, deleting Condition #1 and amending the reference in Condition #4 to *Condition #2* following the renumbering – **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** excused**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SALLY PELHAM, Southwest Engineer, 3610 North Rancho Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, clarified that Condition #1 should be deleted because an easement is being vacated and they will not be reserving any additional easements. Due to that deletion and renumbering of the remaining conditions, the reference in Condition #4 should be changed making reference to Condition #2.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 140 – VAC-0023-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:23 – 4:25)

6-399

CONDITIONS:

1. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0024-01 - SOUTHWEST DESERT
EQUITIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Petition to vacate a portion of Hickam
Street generally located west of the Las Vegas Beltway, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning
Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SALLY PELHAM, Southwest Engineer, 3610 North Rancho Drive, appeared on behalf of the
applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 141 – VAC-0024-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:23 – 4:25)

6-399

CONDITIONS:

1. This Petition of Vacation shall be amended to retain those portions of Hickam Street on the north edge of this site, necessary to complete a cul-de-sac on Hickam Street.
2. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The Drainage Plan for Z-24-99(33) may be used to fulfill this condition.
3. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
5. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
6. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #5 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 141 – VAC-0024-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-0026-01 - CONCORDIA HOMES OF NEVADA** - Petition to Vacate portions of Craig Road, Marla Street, and Helena Avenue and U.S. Government Patent Easements generally located south of the Craig Road Alignment, west of the future Beltway Alignment, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

PATRICK SMITH, 6280 South Valley View Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, clarified for MR. SMITH that the conditions are the same that were approved by the Planning Commission. Therefore, MR. SMITH concurred with those conditions. Additionally, CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, indicated that she would provide a copy for the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 142 – VAC-0026-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:26 – 4:27)

6-486

CONDITIONS:

1. This Petition of Vacation shall be revised to retain an appropriate City of Las Vegas Public Sewer Easement adjacent to the western edge of the Western Beltway and the Craig Road alignment at a location acceptable to the City Engineer prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation. (Public Works)
2. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required. (Planning and Development)
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments. (Planning and Development)
4. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment of Interest. (Public Works)
5. The Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition # 4 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (Public Works)
6. Development of these sites shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Concordia at Lone Mountain West – Unit 3 Subdivision, Z-65-00 and all subsequent site-related action. (Public Works)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 142 – VAC-0026-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. If the Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment of Interest are not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (Planning and Development)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0027-01 - DEER SPRINGS/CONCOUGH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF BIG SKY DEVELOPMENT -**

Petition to vacate a public sewer easement generally located south Deer Springs Way, west of the Conough Lane Alignment, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition #4 to reflect a change in the second line to Condition #3 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused****NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, it was clarified that all improvements under Condition #3 should read “All public improvements...”****MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, clarified that in the second line of Condition #4, it should read Condition #3.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 143 – VAC-0027-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:27 – 4:32)

6-543

CONDITIONS:

1. This Petition of Vacation shall be amended to exclude the south 20 feet of this public sewer easement vacation. *(Public Works)*
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments. *(Planning and Development)*
3. All improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. *(Public Works)*
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #4 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. *(Public Works)*
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. *(Planning and Development)*

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0028-01 - NORRIS SANDRA 1990 LIVING TRUST ON BEHALF OF BEAZER HOMES HOLDING CORPORATION - Petition to vacate a portion of Robin Street generally located north of Washington Avenue, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BOB LEWIS, Carter & Burgess, Inc., 6655 Bermuda Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

JOYCE PALMIETTERI, 912 West Robin Street, expressed concern about the proposed vacation. Allowing the applicant to vacate the street would cause street parking to cease. CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, explained that the road currently is built to a 51-foot street and there is a 60-foot of right-of-way. MS. PALMIETTERI argued that it is not and that all the homes in this area have only one driveway. Four feet will be taken off the major road, and this would create a problem for school buses, garbage or mail trucks. The traffic in this area should be studied before this item is approved. MS. EDELMAN asked that the item be trailed so that she could explain and present to MS. PALMIETTERI what the applicant is proposing.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 144 – VAC-0028-01

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that MS. EDELMAN clarified for MS. PALMIETTERI that the Vacation request is for the other side of the street, and therefore, she supports the vacation request.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:28 – 4:32/4:45 – 4:46)

6-575/6-1157

CONDITIONS:

1. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. *The Drainage Plan for Z-0049-01 may be used to fulfill this condition. (Public Works)*
2. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required. *(Planning and Development)*
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments. *(Planning and Development)*
4. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. *(Public Works)*
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #4 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 144 – VAC-0028-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. *(Public Works)*

6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. *(Planning and Development)*

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0029-01 - CARL L. WATSON & WANDA R. FOSTER - Petition to vacate a portion of Shelby Street generally located south of Harris Avenue, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

WANDA FOSTER, 1620 North Torrey Pines Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 145 – VAC-0029-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:32 – 4:33)

6-680

CONDITIONS:

1. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required. (*Planning and Development*)
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments. (*Planning and Development*)
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. (*Public Works*)
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (*Public Works*)
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (*Planning and Development*)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0030-01 - SHEARING FAMILY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC. - Petition to vacate public right of way and U.S. Government Patent Easements generally located on the northeast corner of Alexander Road and Fort Apache Road, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BOB LEWIS, Carter & Burgess, Inc., 6655 Bermuda Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 146 – VAC-0030-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:33)

6-720

CONDITIONS:

1. An application to vacate the west half of Dapple Gray Road must be submitted to Clark County and receive County Commission approval. Orders of Vacation for both the County Vacation Application and this Vacation Application shall record simultaneously; if one cannot be recorded for any reason, neither shall record. (*Public Works*)
2. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required. This condition does not apply to the vacation of easements. (*Planning and Development*)
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments. (*Planning and Development*)
4. All improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment of Interest. (*Public Works*)
5. The Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #4 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (*Public Works*)
6. Development of these sites shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-50-01 and all other subsequent site-related actions. (*Public Works*)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 146 – VAC-0030-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. If the Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment of Interest are not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.
(Planning and Development)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0111-96(1) - PALM MORTUARY, INC.** - Five Year Review on an approved Variance, which allowed upright headstones, crypts and mausoleums in conjunction with a cemetery where such uses were not allowed adjacent to the southwest corner of Jones Boulevard and Deer Springs Way (APN: 125-23-703-005), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 147 – V-0111-96(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 148 for related discussion.

(4:33 – 4:35)

6-734

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. There shall be a no additional reviews of this Variance by the Planning Commission and City Council.
2. The use shall comply with all previous Conditions of Approval under U-0099-96(1).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW RELATED TO V-0111-96(1) - SPECIAL USE PERMIT
- PUBLIC HEARING - U-0099-96(1) - **PALM MORTUARY, INCORPORATED** -

Required Five Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a cemetery with a single-story 10,548 square foot chapel adjacent to the southwest corner of Jones Boulevard and Deer Springs Way (APN: 125-23-703-005), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition #3 as follows:

- **Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Jones Boulevard adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site as required by the Department of Public Works. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site. *Construction of all required improvements on Jones Boulevard shall commence within 12 months of the completion of the Jones Boulevard sewer project adjacent to this site. Alternatively, if an RTC/SID project for Jones Boulevard is formed prior to the deadline for these improvements along Jones Boulevard, the applicant may participate in such a project. Failure to comply with this condition shall result in this item being reconsidered by the City Council.***

– **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 148 – U-0099-96(1)

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that the applicant and the Public Works Department reached an agreement to modify Condition #3. MR. PSIODA concurred with all staff's conditions, as well as the amended condition as submitted.

CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works Department, clarified that the modification to Condition #3 would allow the construction of the required improvements on Jones Boulevard, as well as the RTC/SID project, to commence after the sewer project is complete.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 147 [V-0111-96(1)] for related discussion.

(4:35 – 4:37)

6-796

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. There shall be a no additional reviews of this Special Use Permit by the Planning Commission and City Council.
2. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for U-0099-96 and all other site-related actions as required by the Planning and Development and Public Works Departments.

Public Works

3. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Jones Boulevard adjacent to this site as required by the Department of Public Works. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site. Construction of all required improvements on Jones Boulevard shall commence within 12 months of approval of this

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 148 – U-0099-96(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

- action by the City Council. Failure to comply with this condition shall result in this item being reconsidered by the City Council.
4. This site shall participate in the public improvement project to extend public sewer from the existing termination point in Jones Boulevard to Farm Road (i.e. contribute \$40,500 as this site's proportionate share of the required improvements) within 90 days of approval of this action by the City Council. Failure to comply with this condition shall result in this item being reconsidered by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0053-01 - WPI-OWENS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF WORLD PREMIER

INVESTMENTS - Request for a Variance to ALLOW A 20 FOOT REAR AND SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY REQUIRES A MINIMUM REAR AND SIDE YARD SETBACK OF 66 FEET on the southwest corner of the intersection of Pecos Road and Owens Avenue (APN: 139-25-501-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 139 [Z-0016-98(4)] to 12/19/2001 and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 1/2/2002 and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 149 [V-0053-01] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion

(2:33 – 2:35)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0053-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0007-61(2) - WPI-OWENS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF WORLD PREMIER INVESTMENTS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 19,326 square foot commercial retail center on the southwest corner of the intersection of Pecos Road and Owens Avenue (APN: 139-25-501-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 139 [Z-0016-98(4)] to 12/19/2001 and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 1/2/2002 and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 149 [V-0053-01] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion

(2:33 – 2:35)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0061-01** - **THE SALVATION ARMY** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A ZERO FOOT SIDE SETBACK WHERE TEN FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK ALLOWED FOR PROPOSED DORMITORY BUILDING at 35 West Owens Avenue (APN: 139-27-502-002), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions with landscaping to be upgraded – **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JIM SULLIVAN, Salvation Army, 10301 George Heart Court, appeared together with LEO BURNS, Architect, and DWAYNE SONENBERG, Administrator, Owens Avenue Campus. MR. SULLIVAN explained that the proposed project is not an emergency lodge for the homeless. It will be a vocational facility that will train the homeless and help them find jobs. These people currently live at the Salvation Army. This will be a step toward moving them into the community. Additionally, the Family Services Department will provide assistance with utilities, groceries, clothing, and transportation for local families that have a Las Vegas address. MR. SULLIVAN indicated that construction must commence by July 1, 2002 or they will lose a \$4 million grant from a local foundation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 151 – V-0061-01

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, to clarify staff's recommendation for denial. MR. GENZER explained that for a Variance request, the recommendation must be based on whether or not there is compelling hardship. From the information staff received, they were unable to state that there was, therefore the recommendation for denial. On a practical basis however, given the facts of this particular area and looking at this site and its location adjacent to the railroad tracks, a case could certainly be made for a zero lot line setback adjacent to the tracks from a security standpoint.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that upon touring the site and taking into consideration the issues that exist in this adjacent area, he believes that it would create an alley and further enhance the problem currently found on the property. Hopefully, the action taken during the morning session regarding the homeless issue will assist in cleaning up this property. However, since the Salvation Army will provide the training facility, the kitchen and other services, he moved to approve the Variance. He also stressed that the landscaping be upgraded. MR. SULLIVAN concurred with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY's request.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 152 [SD-0051-01] for related discussion.

(4:37 – 4:42)

6-856

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years from the date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The applicant shall have approved by the Planning Commission an additional Variance to allow a 5-foot front yard setback where 10-feet is the minimum setback allowed, prior to the issuance of any building permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 151 – V-0061-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0061-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0051-01 - THE SALVATION ARMY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 39,180 SQUARE FOOT DORMITORY, A 3,120 SQUARE FOOT CHAPEL AND FOR A REDUCTION OF THE REQUIRED PERIMETER LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS on 5.44 acres at 35 West Owens Avenue (APN: 139-27-502-002), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions with landscaping to be upgraded – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

LEO BORNS, Architect, Salvation Army, indicated that they would comply with the landscaping upgrade.

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, presented a picture depicting clutter found in the proximity of the railroad tracks. He supports any type of project that helps people get back to work and asked that as part of the required landscaping, large rocks be placed to deter people from using the property as a place to sleep.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 152 – SD-0051-01

MINUTES – Continued:

Additionally, he asked that the Salvation Army work with the railroad company and whoever owns that property to clean it up and install a fence around the property.

DWAYNE SONENBERG, Administrator, Salvation Army, replied that they have been working with the Union Pacific Railroad and with their cooperation installed a wrought iron rail that separates Owens Avenue from access to the railroad. Their intent is to continue working with the Pacific Railroad in this area.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that hopefully with HOME funds allocated to support the provision of emergency shelter and services, men and women will be moved into shelters with available beds and prepared for jobs. As far as MR. CONTRERAS' comment regarding the landscaping, he stated that the City will work with the Salvation Army to assist them in their landscaping efforts to help mitigate that problem.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 151 [V-0061-00] for related discussion.

(4:42 – 4:45)

6-1014

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. If the associated Variance (V-0061-01) is not approved, the site plan shall be revised to depict a minimum ten-foot setback along the side property line.
3. A Variance to allow a five-foot front setback where ten feet is the minimum setback allowed, shall be approved by the City Council prior to the issuance of any building permits. If a Variance application is not approved, the site plan shall be revised to depict a minimum ten-foot setback along the front property line.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 152 – SD-0051-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. The landscape plan shall be amended to depict the type, number, and placement of all proposed landscaping on the plan.
5. The landscape plan shall be amended to depict two additional 24-inch box trees planted adjacent to the northeast face of the proposed chapel. In addition, the proposed parking lot shall have five additional 24-inch box trees planted within the landscape finger islands.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
11. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

12. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
13. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access between this site and the adjoining parcel to the east prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 152 – SD-0051-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Any new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
15. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

16. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 152 – SD-0051-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

17. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Owens Avenue adjacent to this site.
18. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Owens Avenue public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0065-01- SMOKE FOUNTAIN, LIMITED -**

Appeal filed by TC Ayala Planning & Development on behalf of Smoke Fountain, Limited from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request for a Variance TO ALLOW THREE (3) 50 FOOT TALL FLAGPOLES on 20.5 acres at 2300 Rock Springs Drive (APN: 138-22-502-001; 138-22-602-001; 138-22-502-002), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – Granted the Appeal; thereby APPROVING the Variance subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present, and the item was trailed to give the applicant time to arrive.

TIM AYALA, 4600 Sunset Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that the request is for three flagpoles to be used for two United States flags and one State of Nevada flag.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that typically he would not approve such a Variance, but due to the patriotic spirit, he felt that an approval would be appropriate.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 153 – V-0065-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(4:46 – 4:47/5:04 – 5:05)
6-1196/6-1880

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The three flagpoles shall each consist of United States flags and the State of Nevada flag. No other flag is permitted.

Public Works

3. Obtain approval from the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) for any flagpoles located within NDOT right-of-way. Alternatively the flagpoles shall be relocated to be within the property boundaries.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING -
U-0075-96(1) - MINI-MASTERS, INC. ON BEHALF OF CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR
- Required Five Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a 14 foot x 48 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) sign at 6900 West Craig Road (APN: 138-03-602-015), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law owns the proposed Timbers located within the notification area. However, he does not believe that it will affect his judgment in voting on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

R. VAN NOSTRAND, Clear Channel Outdoor, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 154 – U-0075-96(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:47 – 4:48)

6-1220

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING -
**U-0080-96(1) - WILLIAM S. BOYD TRUST II, ET AL ON BEHALF OF CALIFORNIA
HOTEL AND CASINO** - Required Five Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit
which allowed four (4) 14 foot x 48 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) signs on the east side
of Rancho Drive, south of Coran Lane (APN: 139-19-705-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone
and U (Undeveloped)[SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation], Ward 5 (Weekly).
The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard
Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's
recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 155 – U-0080-96(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:48 – 4:49)

6-1272

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years, or if a Site Development Plan Review application is filed for the subject site, at which time the Special Use Permit shall be reviewed by the Planning Commission and City Council, and the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structures are removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) signs and their supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0031-00 - AMERICAN STORE PROPERTIES, INC. - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE OFF-PREMISE SALE OF BEER AND WINE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED ALBERTSON'S CONVENIENCE STORE on the northwest corner of Craig Road and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-01-619-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #79 and Item #80)** The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL. Staff recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions. Staff recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE to 1/2/2002 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and requested that this item, as well as companion Items 79 and 80 be held in abeyance for 60 days. ATTORNEY GRONAUER indicated that there are some issues concerning whether or not the convenience store would be within the 400 feet requirement of a protected use. The abeyance is being requested to see if an ordinance can be introduced to address this issue and to look at potential waivers under certain circumstances. Currently the City's code does not allow that. However, he believes this is a special circumstance.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 156 – U-0031-00

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he has been working with the applicant regarding this issue and directed staff to begin working on the ordinance that would help in these certain situations.

(3:58 – 4:01)

5-2967

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0086-01 – LAMB BOULEVARD SELF-STORAGE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CINGULAR WIRELESS - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 57 FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION MONOPOLE at 851 North Lamb Boulevard (APN: 140-29-301-004), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ROGER SPENCER, Cingular Wireless, 1211 Town Center Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD mentioned that his understanding was that all cell tower applications would not be approved until the proposed ordinance was approved. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, replied that the Council has discretion whether to approve them or not. Planning staff is continuing to approve cell towers

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 157 – U-0086-01

MINUTES – Continued:

that fall under the Administrative Approval Process with concurrence of the appropriate Council member. As a result of the issues that were raised at the last Council meeting regarding administrative approvals, it may be longer for staff to actually get the cell tower ordinance to the City Council for its review.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD commented that certain cell tower companies have been unjust to many parts of Ward 1, as well as Wards 2 and 5. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE emphasized that staff needs to make applicants aware that until the proposed ordinance comes forward, these applications should be held in abeyance. Something needs to be in place so that these applications can be looked at so that one will not be approved for one ward and not the other wards. MR. GLORE explained that in this case, the application was first submitted to staff before the proposed ordinance was discussed and before the direction from Council that all reviews come before the City Council. This application was held in abeyance at least once by the Planning Commission and has been in the system for some time.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated that the problems and surprises have been with the administrative approvals and not with the applications that have been approved by the City Council. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked COUNCILMAN MACK whether he is working on this type of ordinance and what exactly is being put into ordinance form. COUNCILMAN MACK replied that the intent is to take a look and ensure that those applications that come forward with concerns are not administratively approved. He has been speaking with MR. GENZER, and those applications should be sent directly to the Council member representing that area. The ordinance still needs tweaking. However, this particular application was initiated prior to the ordinance discussion and should be approved.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that it is disheartening to go to a neighborhood meeting and have constituents ask how a cell tower appeared in their neighborhood without a public hearing. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT advised that if the Council desires to place a moratorium on cell towers until such time as an ordinance is created, the item needs to be agendaed as a separate item for discussion and then given a time certain as to when the ordinance would come back to Council for consideration.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD commented that there is no doubt that cell towers are needed, but they should be placed tastefully, such as he has seen in cities like Phoenix, Scottsdale, even Henderson, Nevada. There is no way to redevelop a neighborhood that has a 40-foot tall cell tower with arrays sticking out. Standards need to be set where a cell tower should be located, it should be stealthy and not obstruct the neighborhood with its visible arrays.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 157 – U-0086-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE asked MR. GLORE how many cell tower applications are outstanding. MR. GLORE reiterated that due to the policy set by the City Council, that all administrative approvals be reviewed by the Planning Director and then shown to the Council person within whose Ward it is located, there appear to be no outstanding applications. The cell industry itself is aware that there is an ordinance coming forward, and they have been holding back on applications waiting to see its outcome.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD pointed out that this is a very difficult issue and the Council needs to set new standards and move in a new direction and vision as it relates to cell towers.

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas, reminded the Council that a cell tower located in the shopping center off of Jones Boulevard was approved, and the residents living in the apartments behind that shopping center were not able to oppose the project. He also mentioned that he went on a ride-along in North Las Vegas to see exactly where all their cell towers were located. He suggested that the City of Las Vegas do the same. Cell towers are unsightly. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE stated that staff understands the City Council's direction regarding this issue.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:49 – 5:04)

6-1309

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
3. The communications monopole and its associated equipment and facility shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the communications monopole and its associated equipment.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0122-01 - ARTHUR AND KATHY COLE** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED CHURCH at 3000 Holly Avenue (APN's: 139-20-301-013 and 014), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ARTHUR COLE, 3000 Holly Avenue, and RONNIE GASTON, 1931 West Cartier, were present. MR. GASTON stated that this proposal first came forward two months ago and was tabled and then held in abeyance so that it could be heard together with the Site Development Plan Review scheduled for the 12/6/01 Planning Commission. CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning & Development Department, pointed out that if it is the Council's desire to have the Site Development Plan Review be final action at Planning Commission, then the Council could move forward with the Special Use Permit. Otherwise if the Site Development Plan is to come before the Council, the item would need to be abeyed to the second meeting in January.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 158 – U-0122-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY moved to approve the Special Use Permit and for the Site Development Plan Review to be approved administratively. However, he asked staff to see it before it is approved. MR. GLORE concurred.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(5:05 – 5:07)

6-1939

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Conformance to all Minimum requirements under Title 19A.040.050 for a church/house of worship use.
3. The applicant shall submit a Site Development Plan Review prior to the construction of new buildings.

Public Works

4. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Holly Avenue, 30 feet for Ferrell Street and a 15-foot radius on the northeast corner of Holly Avenue and Ferrell Street prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. Construct half-street improvements on Holley Avenue and Ferrell Street adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
6. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities as required by the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 158 – U-0122-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #224.
8. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access and Parking Agreement between both parcels comprising this overall site, or submit a Reversionary Map to remove the existing lot line between the two parcels prior to the issuance of any permits.
9. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 158 – U-0122-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. Meet with the Flood Control Section of the Department of Public Works to discuss drainage related issues for this site prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0116-01 - RONALD AND JUDITH VITTO, ET AL - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 396-UNIT RESIDENCE HOTEL at 4339 North Rancho Road (APN: 138-02-701-009), C-2 (General Commercial) and R-E (Residence Estates) Zones under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

223

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

2

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Marketing information on Emerald Suites submitted by applicant
5. Submitted at meeting: Photograph submitted by Tammy Cortez along with her written comments
6. Submitted at meeting: Written comments and chart for Disturbance Calls submitted by Flo Limandri
7. Submitted at meeting: Photographs submitted by Frances Denison along with her written comments
8. Submitted at meeting: An enlarged notification map of the subject property submitted by Karen Hansen
9. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Angelo Lardas, Lido Development Corporation, and a petition with 346 signatures in opposition, were submitted by Irene Houghland along with her written comments
10. Submitted at meeting: Written comments submitted by Jim Ensley
11. Submitted at meeting: Written comments submitted by Fred Houghland
12. Submitted at meeting: Petition in opposition submitted by Sharon Rhizor
13. Submitted at meeting: E-mails received by Councilman Mack
14. Submitted after meeting: One letter opposing the project

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 159 – U-0116-01

MOTION:

MACK – DENIED – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother has a Super Pawn within the limits of the notification area. However, he feels comfortable voting on this item.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that ATTORNEY STEPHEN STEIN at one time was his law partner and they no longer have any business dealings. Therefore, he feels it would not affect his ability to vote on this item.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that his liaison, DOUG RANKIN, resides in the neighborhood but outside the notification area. Therefore, the City Attorney's office advised him that he could vote on the item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney
STEPHEN STEIN, Attorney, 520 South Fourth Street
RON VITTO, 9830 Red Coach Avenue
ASHLEY HALL, 550 East Charleston Boulevard, Suite H
RUTH ANN BENOIST, 6444 Hartman Street
TAMMY CORTEZ, 6404 Southfire Street
FLO LIMANDRI, 4025 North Torrey Pines Drive
JOHN WILLIAMS, 4041 North Torrey Pines Drive
FRANCES DENISON, 4008 Rhonda Drive
KAREN HANSEN, 4009 Rhonda Drive
IRENE HOUGHLAND, 4333 North Torrey Pines Drive
JIM ENSLEY, 4217 Jory Trail
FRED HOUGHLAND, 4333 North Torrey Pines Drive
SHARON RHIZOR, 4109 Jory Trail
ERNIE MORALES, 4225 Jory Trail
MICHAEL GORSLINE, 4216 Jory Trail
DEBRA COUCHE, 6309 Jennifer Court
JIM CHILDRESS, 4201 Jory Trail
ARTHUR THOM, 4001 Rhonda Drive

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 159 – U-0116-01

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES – Continued:

LANAE WILSON, 4241 Jory Trail

ED BLANDORI, 4233 Jory Trail

MIKE MALONE, 3660 Thom Boulevard

PAUL VAN RUDIN, 4804 Sunbright Avenue

TED EGERTON, Locksaw Engineering, 5828 West Spring Mountain Road

DON HAMRICK, Managing General Partner for Emerald Suites

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript for Item 159 [U-0116-01] and Item 160 [Z-0137-94(4)] is made a part of the final minutes.

(5:07 – 6:38)

6-2060/7-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0116-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0137-94(4) - RONALD AND JUDITH VITTO, ET AL** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 396-UNIT RESIDENCE HOTEL at 4339 North Rancho Road (APN: 138-02-701-009), C-2 (General Commercial) and R-E (Residence Estates) Zones under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****224****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Photograph submitted by Tammy Cortez along with her written comments
5. Submitted at meeting: Written comments and chart for Disturbance Calls submitted by Flo Limandri
6. Submitted at meeting: Photographs submitted by Frances Denison along with her written comments
7. Submitted at meeting: An enlarged notification map of the subject property submitted by Karen Hansen
8. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Angelo Lardas, Lido Development Corporation, a petition with 346 signatures in opposition, were submitted by Irene Houghland along with her written comments
9. Submitted at meeting: Written comments submitted by Jim Ensley
10. Submitted at meeting: Written comments submitted by Fred Houghland
11. Submitted at meeting: Petition in opposition submitted by Sharon Rhizor
12. Submitted at meeting: E-mails received by Councilman Mack
13. Submitted after meeting: Two letters opposing the project

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 160 – Z-0137-94(4)

MOTION:

MACK – DENIED – UNANIMOUS

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother has a Super Pawn within the limits of the notification area. However, he feels comfortable voting on this item.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that ATTORNEY STEPHEN STEIN at one time was his law partner and they no longer have any business dealings together at this time. Therefore, he feels it would not affect his ability to vote on this item.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that his liaison DOUG RANKIN, resides outside the notification area. However, the City Attorney's office advised him that he could vote on the item.

MINUTES:

APPEARANCES:

BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney
STEPHEN STEIN, Attorney, 520 South Fourth Street
RON VITTO, 9830 Red Coach Avenue
ASHLEY HALL, 550 East Charleston Boulevard, Suite H
RUTH ANN BENOIST, 6444 Hartman Street
TAMMY CORTEZ, 6404 Southfire Street
FLO LIMANDRI, 4025 North Torrey Pines Drive
JOHN WILLIAMS, 4041 North Torrey Pines Drive
FRANCES DENISON, 4008 Rhonda Drive
KAREN HANSEN, 4009 Rhonda Drive
IRENE HOUGHLAND, 4333 North Torrey Pines Drive
JIM ENSLEY, 4217 Jory Trail
FRED HOUGHLAND, 4333 North Torrey Pines Drive
SHARON RHIZOR, 4109 Jory Trail
ERNIE MORALES, 4225 Jory Trail
MIKE GORSLINE, 4216 Jory Trail
DEBRA COUCHE, 6309 Jennifer Court
JIM CHILDRESS, 4201 Jory Trail
ARTHUR THOM, 4001 Rhonda Drive

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 160 – Z-0137-94(4)

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES – Continued:

LANAE WILSON, 4241 Jory Trail

ED BLANDORI, 4233 Jory Trail

MIKE MALONE, 3660 Thom Boulevard

PAUL VAN RUDIN, 4804 Sunbright Avenue

TED EGERTON, Locksaw Engineering, 5828 West Spring Mountain Road

DON HAMRICK, Managing and General Partners for Emerald Suites

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript for Item 159 [U-0116-01] and Item 160 [Z-0137-94(4)] is made a part of the final minutes.

(5:07 – 6:38)

6-2060/7-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0061-01 - MURI AND ANGELINE MELWANI TRUST ON BEHALF OF RL HOMES - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-1 (Single Family Residential) of 3.65 Acres on the east side of Torrey Pines Drive, between Hammer Lane and Fisher Avenue (APN: 125-35-601-001), PROPOSED USE: 18-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(6:38)

7-2082

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 161 – Z-0061-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. The overall residential density is limited to a maximum of 5.50 dwelling units per acre.
3. All development shall be subject to the development standards for the R-1 (Single Family Residential) zoning district as set forth in Section 19A.08 of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.
4. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for Special Use Permit application (U-0123-01).

Public Works

5. Construct half-street improvements on Hammer Lane and Fisher Avenue and construct all incomplete half-improvements on Torrey Pines Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
6. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
7. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 161 – Z-0061-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. A Master Public Streetlight Plan shall be submitted and approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
9. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

10. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 161 – Z-0061-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a final map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

11. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z-0061-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0123-01** - **MURI AND ANGELINE MELWANI TRUST ON BEHALF OF RL HOMES** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR PRIVATE STREETS WITHIN A PROPOSED 18-LOT SUBDIVISION on the east side of Torrey Pines Drive, between Hammer Lane and Fisher Avenue (APN: 125-35-601-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: R-1 (Single Family Residential)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(6:38 – 6:39)

7-2115

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 162 – U-0123-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The private streets shall have a minimum width of thirty-seven feet from back-of-curb to back-of-curb. Private streets with rolled curbs shall be a minimum width of thirty-nine feet.
3. The proposed private streets shall meet the minimum construction standards for public streets.
4. The street name signs for private streets shall bear the words “privately maintained,” and shall be a color and design established by the City and in conformance with the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices. The color of such a sign must differ distinctively from that used in connection with public streets.
5. The Tentative Map application for the proposed residential subdivision on this site shall demonstrate compliance with all provisions of the Las Vegas Municipal Code applicable to private streets, including the provision of a separate lot for private streets.
6. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for Rezoning application (Z-0061-01).

Public Works

7. Meet with the Traffic Engineer for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Access roadway shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. Gated access, if proposed concurrent with development or in the future, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. As shown this site plan does not accommodate access gates.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 162 – U-0123-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, multi-use trails, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
9. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
10. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-61-01, on this same agenda.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0062-01 - COLEMAN-TOLL, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF PULTE HOMES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units per Acre) on 80.77 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Elkhorn Road and Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 125-15-801-001), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****3****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

STEVE YOUNGBERG, Stantake-Pentacore Engineering, 6763 West Charleston Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and raised concerns about two conditions imposed on Item 164 [Z-0062-01(1)]: Conditions #2 and #6. Condition #2 addressed the trail system. He was told that the General Plan Amendment is not going to be necessary, yet there will be an adoption of the trail system, which will relocate the direction of the trail around the proposed project. If that is the case, he asked that this condition be deleted and a statement included that would allow them to proceed with this development, as well as a condition recording a final map upon the adoption of the trail system.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 163 – Z-0062-01

MINUTES – Continued:

Regarding Condition #6, it was his belief that at a discussion held during the Planning Commission meeting, it was decided that the side yard setback would be changed from 10 feet to five feet. This was requested because the applicant wanted most of the green belt to be in the common lot. Therefore, that would mean that the corner lot would be a common lot and then the lot next to it would still have a five-foot setback.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development Department, explained that staff would have no problem amending Condition #6 to reflect the change to the side yard setback. Regarding Condition #2, the intent of the condition was that it would be a General Plan Amendment either initiated by the applicant or a General Plan Amendment that is embodied in the trails plans. In either case, staff would have no problem expanding the language on that condition to cover the trails element provision as well. However, staff would strongly resist the proposal to base the Final Map on that action because the Final Map is supposed to be substantially in compliance with a Tentative Map. If for any reason the trails alignment does not come forward as anticipated, the Tentative Map process would basically be worthless, and the applicant would have to start over. MR. GLORE recommended that that condition be tied to the Tentative Map rather than the Final Map.

MR. YOUNGBERG rebutted that it would be difficult to concur because it will hold up all actions until December 17, 2001, when apparently the trail system will be adopted. Currently, there is no master plan trail system that they need to amend or be conditioned upon. He questioned what would happen if the trail system is delayed. MR. YOUNGBERG stated that by holding the Final Map, staff would give them an opportunity to move forward and at the same time move the transportation element of the trail system forward. COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that the adopted trail plan goes through the center of the applicant's property. The trails element was reduced from the northwest plan.

MR. GLORE explained that the recreation and transportation elements of the trails are scheduled to come before the City Council on December 19, 2001. One option would be to either amend the condition to allow this application to go forward, but not allow the Tentative Map to be approved until such time as the Council has acted on the trails elements. That could in fact occur at the second Planning Commission meeting in December, which would be immediately on the heels of the City Council action on the trails elements. From staff's standpoint, since the applications have not been turned in, that would delay the applicant by two weeks. The other option would be to hold this item until the December 19, 2001 City Council meeting and approve it and amend the conditions at that time depending on what happens with the trails elements.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 163 – Z-0062-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. YOUNGBERG pointed out that the neighbors to the north, the Toll Brothers, who are developing the 80-acre parcel, have a Tentative Map approval subject to Final Maps being held from submittal. Upon COUNCILMAN MACK's inquiry on whether the Toll Brothers were given any special consideration, MR. GLORE could not respond with any certainty because he was not aware of the details of those conditions.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked whether the trail alignment is the same on this property and the one to the north. MR. GLORE replied in the affirmative that it is under the currently adopted Centennial Center Plan. COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that sometimes applicants are asked to acknowledge that they are moving ahead at their own risk. His understanding is that everybody agrees to where this new trail is going and the neighborhood spoke very loudly on that regard. If the project to the north was allowed to move forward, then this project should be allowed to move forward accordingly. MR. GLORE replied that staff does not have a problem with that and reiterated that he did not specifically know what the wording of the condition was regarding the property to the north.

COUNCILMAN MACK stressed that in the future, the applicant must contact his office prior to the City Council meeting for any type of condition changes.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 163 [Z-0062-01] and Item 164 [Z-0062-01(1)] was heard under Item 163 [Z-0062-01].

(6:39 – 6:50)

7-2135

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. The overall residential density is limited to a maximum of 2.00 dwelling units per acre.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 163 – Z-0062-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Tenaya Way, 50 feet for Elkhorn Road, 50 feet for Rainbow Boulevard, a 54-foot radius on the northeast corner of Elkhorn Road and Tenaya Way, and a 54-foot radius on the northwest corner of Elkhorn Road and Rainbow Boulevard prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able, on Tenaya Way, Rainbow Boulevard and Elkhorn Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. The required half-street improvements on Rainbow Boulevard may be constructed to a 40-foot half-street width within the required 50-foot dedication. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 163 – Z-0062-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
8. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Rainbow Boulevard adjacent to this site.
9. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Rainbow Boulevard public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0062-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0062-01(1) - COLEMAN-TOLL, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF PULTE HOMES** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and Reduction of Required Minimum Street Width FOR 161 LOTS on 80.77 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Elkhorn Road and Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 125-15-801-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation [PROPOSED: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions amending Conditions #2 and #6 as follows:

2. Approval of the General Plan Amendment *or the trails element prior to submittal of a Final Subdivision Map*;
6. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 15 feet to the garage (side-loaded), or 25 feet to the garage (front-loaded), 21 feet to the house, a minimum of five feet on the side (20 feet aggregate), a minimum of 15 feet for corner side yard, unless a common open space is provided, in which case *5 feet*, a minimum of 25 feet in the rear for perimeter lots along Elkhorn Road and Tenaya Way, with the exception that six lots may have 15 feet, all interior lots shall have a 15 foot rear. In addition, a two-foot reduction in front yard setbacks is allowed on cul-de-sacs and knuckles;

– UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 164 – Z-0062-01(1)

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

STEVE YOUNGBERG, Stantake-Pentacore Engineering, 6763 West Charleston Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 163 [Z-0062-01] and Item 164 [Z-0062-01(1)] was heard under Item 163 [Z-0062-01].

(6:39 – 6:50)

7-2135

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Approval of a General Plan Amendment to revise Map #7 of the Centennial Hills Sector Map to realign the trail along Rio Vista Street to Rainbow Boulevard.
3. Submittal of a revised site plan depicting the new trail alignment along Elkhorn Road and Rainbow Boulevard.
4. Submittal of cross-sections for staff review of a trail located on the north side of Elkhorn Road and Rainbow Boulevard.
5. Submittal of a revised site plan depicting minimum private street widths of 37 feet.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 164 – Z-0062-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 15 feet to the garage (side-loaded), or 25 feet to the garage (front-loaded), 21 feet to the house, a minimum of five feet on the side (20 foot aggregate), a minimum of 15 feet for corner side yard, unless a common open space is provided, in which case 10 feet, a minimum of 25 feet in the rear for perimeter lots along Elkhorn Road and Tenaya Way, with the exception that six lots may have 15 feet, all interior lots shall have a 15 foot rear. In addition, a two-foot reduction in front yard setbacks is allowed on cul-de-sacs and knuckles.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
8. The Tentative Map for this site shall be heard as a Public Hearing at the Planning Commission.

Public Works

9. This site plan shall be redesigned to comply with the Title 18 - Subdivision Ordinance which requires private street widths of 37-feet for L-curb or 39-feet for rolled curb. The Tentative Map for this site shall reflect compliance with such requirements.
10. Meet with the Traffic Engineer for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed access drives, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
11. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
12. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
13. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 164 – Z-0062-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0062-01 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0063-01 - CONCORDIA HOMES OF NEVADA**
- Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [L-TC (Low Density Residential)
General Plan Designation)] TO: TC (Town Center) Zone on 10.03 acres located adjacent to the
northeast corner of the Deer Springs Way and Campbell Road alignments (APN: 125-20-201-013
and 014), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning
Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBIN HOGAN, Concordia Homes, 6360 South Pecos, Suite G, concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(6:50 – 6:51)

7-2626

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 165 – Z-0063-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to approval of a Tentative Map, issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Deer Springs Way prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able, on Deer Springs Way concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
5. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to this site prior to occupancy of any units within this development.
6. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend oversized public sewer in the Deer Springs Way alignment to the west edge of this site to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements, if any, necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 165 – Z-0063-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
9. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0064-01** - **CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-PD6 (Residential Planned Development - 6 Units per Acre) TO: C-V (Civic) on 9.00 Acres located adjacent to the southwest corner of Buffalo Drive and Sunny Springs Road (APN: 125-16-813-003), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Real Estate and Asset Management, appeared on behalf of the City and concurred with staff's conditions. COUNCILMAN MACK stated that he is very excited about this park and the grand opening is scheduled for January. He invited the Council to participate in the two-on-two basketball tournament.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(6:51 – 6:52)

7-2670

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 166 – Z-0064-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. Site Development shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval of Site Development Plan Review Z-0075-90(18).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING -
GPA-0033-99 - NADER-NOOROZIAN - Request to Amend a portion of the West Las Vegas Plan FROM: P (Park/School) TO: SC (Service Commercial) on 0.27 acres on the southwest corner of Owens Avenue and "H" Street (APN: 139-27-110-001 and 004), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – DENIED – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

NADER NOOROZIAN, 575 South Royal Crest Circle, stated that he spoke to DAVID ROARK, Real Estate and Asset Management, and was told that the City does not need this land for a park. He has tried to rezone this property for two years. He also tried to sell the property, which he did, but the buyer reconsidered. MR. NOOROZIAN asked that either the City acquire the property to use as a park or that he be granted the zone change.

MAYOR GOODMAN recognized the applicant's predicament and stated that he spoke with CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT to research to see whether the City could consider some

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 167 – GPA-0033-99

MINUTES – Continued:

kind of force-condemnation under the Wetlands case. He assured MR. NOOROZIAN that staff would work with him to reach a resolution. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY SCOTT indicated that he will work with the applicant to try to reach a solution, possibly through land acquisition or some other method that the Council sees fit.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed staff to come up with some type of land development plan for this entire island and to work closely with the applicant.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(6:52 – 6:56)

7-2742

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

NL 9999 N. 15TH STREET, NL 4509 E. BONANZA ROAD, SNC-0003-01, U-0099-91(2), U-0040-96(1), U-0129-01, U-0130-01, U-0133-01, V-0045-98(1), VAC-0031-01, Z-0071-00(2)&U-0145-01(1)&U-0146-01(1)&U-0147-01(1) – 11/21/2001 AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2001**

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

DOROTHY BARNES, 2575 Sherwood, Apt. 26A, addressed her concerns regarding segregation and its affect on people's freedom. She wants her name off the organized crime list for not supporting certain programs. These problems have caused her to be homeless and caused her disability benefits to be discontinued. Additionally, she is being evicted.

(6:56 – 6:59)

7-2927

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, noted that he would prefer COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD to sing rather than HANK GORDON. He heard her sing the Star Spangled Banner, and it brought tears to his eyes.

(6:59 – 7:00)

7-3074

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 6:59 P.M.